



INSTITUT NATIONAL DE RECHERCHE EN INFORMATIQUE ET EN AUTOMATIQUE

Project-Team hipercom

High PERFORMANCE COMMunication

Rocquencourt

THEME COM

Activity
R *eport*

2005

Table of contents

1. Team	1
2. Overall Objectives	2
2.1. Overall Objectives	2
3. Scientific Foundations	2
3.1. Analytical information theory	2
3.2. Methodology of telecommunication algorithm evaluation	3
3.3. Network traffic and architecture models	3
3.4. Algorithm conception and implementation	4
4. Application Domains	5
4.1. Panorama	5
4.2. Wireless mobile ad hoc networks	5
4.3. Services over mobile networks	5
4.4. Community Network	6
5. Software	6
5.1. Hiperlan driver	6
5.2. OLSR softwares	6
6. New Results	7
6.1. Medium Access Control protocol performance evaluation	7
6.2. The space and time capacity paradoxes of mobile ad hoc networks	7
6.3. Flooding in mobile ad hoc networks	8
6.4. Optimized Link State Routing (OLSR), OLSR extensions	9
6.5. Quality of services in mobile ad hoc networks	10
6.5.1. Bandwith reservation on the presence of interferences	10
6.5.2. Distribution of packet delay	10
6.5.3. OLSR extension supporting QoS	11
6.6. Security in mobile ad hoc networks	12
6.7. Performance evaluation of routing algorithms in mobile ad hoc networks	13
6.8. Internet topology and TCP performance analysis	13
6.9. Multicast extension for OLSR	13
6.10. OLSR and IPv6	14
6.11. Wireless OSPF	14
6.12. MANET NEMO integration	14
6.13. Auto-configuration in MANET Networks	15
6.14. Real-time scheduling	16
6.14.1. Uniprocessor scheduling: improvement of the Fixed Priority schedulability with dynamic priorities	17
6.14.2. Distributed case: the trajectory approach	18
6.14.3. Applications: reliable multicasts, multicast multimedia flows	18
6.14.4. Deterministic and probabilistic guarantees of quality of service	18
6.14.4.1. Coexistence of deterministic and probabilistic QoS guarantees	19
6.14.4.2. Applications: real-time traffics in a DiffServ/MPLS architecture	19
6.14.5. CBQ scheduling applied to an ad-hoc network	19
6.14.6. Introducing QoS in Bluetooth	19
7. Contracts and Grants with Industry	20
7.1. CELAR	20
7.2. SAFARI	21
7.3. Hitachi	21

7.4. Samsung	21
8. Dissemination	22
8.1. University teaching	22
8.2. Participation to workshops, invitations	23
8.2.1. Participation of Hipercom	23
8.2.2. Organization of Hipercom	24
9. Bibliography	24

1. Team

Head of project-team

Philippe Jacquet [DR, Ingénieur en Chef des Mines]

Vice-head of project-team

Pascale Minet [CR]

Administrative assistant

Danielle Croisy [ITA]

Staff member Inria

Cédric Adjih [CR]

Marc Badel [CR, Ingénieur Principal de l'Armement]

Paul Mühlethaler [DR, Ingénieur en Chef de l'Armement]

Ph D student

Géraud Allard [INRIA Fellowship]

Emmanuel Baccelli [CIFRE Fellowship with Hitachi Laboratory]

Hakim Badis [INRIA Fellowship partly with LRI (Paris XI)]

Saadi Boudjit [INRIA Fellowship]

Song-Yean Cho [INRIA Fellowship]

Amina Naimi [INRIA Fellowship]

Dang-Quan Nguyen [INRIA Fellowship]

Daniele Raffo [INRIA Fellowship]

Georges Rodolakis [Polytechnique Fellowship]

Student intern

Prateek Mittal [May-July 2005]

Engineers

Anis Laouiti

Adokoe Plakoo

External Collaborators

Khaldoun Alagha [LRI (Paris XI)]

Thomas Clausen [Assistant Professor(Polytechnique)]

Short Term trainees

Slim Ben Ayed [5 - 18 September 2005]

Inès Korbi [28 August - 11 Septembre 2005]

Dorsaf Fayeche, [5 - 18 September 2005]

Karima Maalaoui [8 - 30 September 2005]

Fadhel Maina, [4 - 18 December 2005]

Sahla Masmoudi [4 - 18 December 2005]

Skander Azzaz [4 - 18 December 2005]

Short Term Visitors

Kanacha Kachanasut [AIT (Thailande)]

Farouk Kamoun [Tunis (Tunisia)]

Bernard Mans [Sydney (Australia)]

Dan Marinescu [[Purdue University (USA)]

Leila Saidane [Tunis (Tunisia)]

Wojciech Szpankowski [Purdue University (USA)]

Nikita Vvednskaya [IITP (Russia)]

2. Overall Objectives

2.1. Overall Objectives

Hipercom project-team aims to design, evaluate and optimize the telecommunication algorithms. The aimed areas are protocols, new telecommunication standards and quality of service management in networks. The aimed activity fields are centered around the new networks and services supporting internet. Although we address the whole spectrum of telecommunication domain, practically the Hipercom project team is specialized in local area networking, local loops, in particular mobile ad hoc networking. However the thematic extends to the information theory and modelization of internet graph and traffics.

The scientific foundations are the following:

- Analytic information theory,
- Methodology for telecommunication algorithm evaluation,
- Traffic and network architectures evaluation,
- Algorithms conception and implementation

3. Scientific Foundations

3.1. Analytical information theory

Keywords: *channel capacity, compression, predictors.*

Participants: Philippe Jacquet, Wojciech Szpankowski.

Information theory Branch of mathematics dedicated to the quantification of the performance of a medium to carry information. Initiated by Shannon in 1948.

Abstract. Information theory and analytical methods play a central role in the networking technology. It identifies the key parameter that must be quantified in order to characterize the performance of a network.

The analytical information theory is part of the foundations of the Hipercom project. This is a tool box that has been collected and adapted from the areas of the analysis of algorithms and the information theory. It provides powerful tool for the analysis of telecommunication algorithms. The analysis of the behavior of such algorithms in their asymptotic range are fundamental in order to identify their critical parts. It helps to design and properly scale the protocols. Application of analytical information theory ranges from channel capacity computations, compression algorithm performance evaluation, predictor designs.

3.2. Methodology of telecommunication algorithm evaluation

Keywords: *deterministic performance, probabilistic performance.*

Participants: Cédric Adjih, Géraud Allard, Emmanuel Baccelli, Philippe Jacquet, Paul Mühlethaler, Pascale Minet, Thomas Clausen, Dang-Quan Nguyen.

Abstract. We develop our performance evaluation tools towards deterministic performance and probabilistic performance. Our tools range from mathematical analysis to simulation and real life experiment of telecommunication algorithms.

One cannot design good algorithms without good evaluation models. Hipercom project team has an historically strong experience in performance evaluation of telecommunication systems, notably when they have multiple access media. We consider two main methodologies:

- Deterministic performance analysis,
- Probabilistic performance analysis

In the deterministic analysis, the evaluation consists to identify and quantify the worst case scenario for an algorithm in a given context. For example to evaluate an end-to-end delay. Mathematically it consists into handling a $(\max,+)$ algebra. Since such algebra is not commutative, the complexity of the evaluation of an end-to-end delay frequently grows exponentially with the number of constraints. Therefore the main issue in the deterministic evaluation of performance is to find bounds easier to compute in order to have practical results in realistic situations.

In the probabilistic analysis of performance, one evaluate the behavior of an algorithm under a set of parameters that follows a stochastic model. For example traffic may be randomly generated, nodes may move randomly on a map. The pioneer works in this area come from Knuth (1973) who has systemized this branch. In the domain of telecommunication, the domain has started a significant rise with the appearance of the problematic of collision resolution in a multiple access medium. With the rise of wireless communication, new interesting problems have been investigated.

The analysis of algorithm can rely on analytical methodology which provides the better insight but is practical in very simplistic models. Simulation tools can be used to refine results in more complicated models. At the end of the line, we proceed with real life experiments. To simplify, experiments check the algorithms with 10 nodes in maximum, simulations with 100 nodes maximum, analytical tools with more 1,000 nodes, so that the full range of applicability of the algorithms is investigated.

3.3. Network traffic and architecture models

Keywords: *dynamic nodes, mobility models, network topologies, traffic source models.*

Participants: Cédric Adjih, Géraud Allard, Philippe Jacquet, Amina Naimi, Georges Rodolakis.

Power laws probability distributions that decays has inverse power of the variable for large values of the variable. Power laws are frequent in economic and statistical analysis (see Pareto law). Simple models such as Poisson processes and finite state Markov processes don't generate distributions with power laws.

Abstract. Network models are important. We consider four model problems: topology, mobility, dynamics and traffic models.

One needs good and realistic models of communication scenarios in order to provide pertinent performance evaluation of protocols. The models must assess the following key points:

- The architecture and topology: the way the nodes are structured within the network

- The mobility: the way the nodes move
- The dynamics: the way the nodes change status
- The traffic: the way the nodes communicate

For the architecture there are several scales. At the internet scale it is important to identify the patterns which dictate the node arrangement. For example the internet topology involves many power law distribution in node degree, link capacities, round trip delays. These parameters have a strong impact in the performance of the global network. At a smaller scale there is also the question how the nodes are connected in a wireless network. There is a significant difference between indoor and outdoor networks. The two kinds of networks differ on wave propagation. In indoor networks, the obstacles such as walls, furniture, etc, are the main source of signal attenuations. In outdoor networks the main source of signal attenuation is the distance to the emitter. This lead to very different models which vary between the random graph model for indoor networks to the unit graph model for outdoor networks.

The mobility model is very important for wireless network. The way nodes move may impact the performance of the network. For example it determines when the network splits in distinct connected components or when these components merge. With random graph models, the mobility model can be limited to the definition of a link status holding time. With unit disk model the mobility model will be defined according to random speed and direction during random times or random distances. There are some minor complications on the border of the map.

The node dynamic addresses the elements that change inside the node. For example its autonomy, its bandwidth requirement, the status of server, client, etc. Pair to pair networks involve a large class of user that frequently change status. In a mobile ad hoc network, nodes may change status just by entering a coverage area, or because some other nodes leaves the coverage area.

The traffic model is very most important. There are plenty literature about traffic models which arose when Poisson models was shown not to be accurate for real traffics, on web or on local area networks. Natural traffic shows long range dependences that don't exist in Poisson traffic. There are still strong issues about the origin of this long range dependences which are debated, however they have a great impact on network performance since congestions are more frequent. The origin are either from the distribution of file sizes exchanged over the net, or from the protocols used to exchange them. One way to model the various size is to consider on/off sources. Every time a node is on it transfers a file of various size. The TCP protocol has also an impact since it keeps a memory on the network traffic. One way to describe it is to use an on/off model (a source sending packets in transmission windows) and to look at the superposition of these on/off sources.

3.4. Algorithm conception and implementation

Keywords: *Access protocols, QoS, routing, scheduling.*

Participant: all .

Abstract. Algorithms are conceived with focal point on performance. The algorithms we specify in detail range between medium access control to admission control and quality of service management.

The conception of algorithms is an important focus of the project team. We specify algorithms in the perspective of achieving the best performance for communication. We also strive to embed those algorithms in protocols that involve the most legacy from existing technologies (Operating systems, internet, Wifi). Our aim with this respect is to allow code implementations for real life experiment or imbedded simulation with existing network simulators. The algorithm specified by the project ranges from multiple access schemes, wireless ad hoc routing, mobile multicast management, Quality of service and admission controls. In any of these cases the design emphasize the notions of performance, robustness and flexibility. For example, a flooding technique in mobile ad hoc network should be performing such to save bandwidth but should not stick too much close to optimal in order to be more reactive to frequent topology changes. Some telecommunication problems have

NP hard optimal solution, and an implementable algorithm should be portable on very low power processing unit (e.g. sensors). Compromise are found are quantified with respect to the optimal solution.

4. Application Domains

4.1. Panorama

Keywords: *Community Networks, Services over mobile networks, Wireless mobile ad hoc networks.*

4.2. Wireless mobile ad hoc networks

Abstract. Mobile wireless network have numerous application in rescue and emergency operation, military tactical networking and in wireless high speed access to the internet.

A mobile ad hoc network is a network made of a collection of mobile nodes that gather spontaneously and communicate without requiring a pre-existing infrastructure. Of course a mobile ad hoc network use a wireless communication medium. They can be applied in various contexts:

- military;
- rescue and emergency;
- high speed access to internet.

The military context is the most obvious application of mobile ad hoc networks.

Soldiers invading a country won't subscribe in advance to the local operator. On the reverse side, home units won't use their local operators firstly because they will likely be disrupted in the first hours of the conflict, and secondly because a wireless communication via an operator is not stealth enough to protect the data and the units. In Chechny, a general has been killed by a missile tracking the uplink signal of his portable phone.

The rescue context is halfway between military and civilian applications. In the september 11 disaster, most of the phone base station of the area have knocked out in less than twenty minutes. The remaining base stations were unable to operate because they could not work in ad hoc mode. The Wireless Emergency Rescue Team recommended afterward that telecom operators should provide ad hoc mode for their infrastructure in order to operate in emergency situation in plain cooperation with police, firemen and hospital networks.

Mobile ad hoc network provide an enhanced coverage for high speed wireless access to the internet. The now very popular WLAN standard, WiFi, provides much larger capacity than mobile operator networks. Using a mobile ad hoc network around hot spots will offer high speed access to much larger community, including cars, busses, trains and pedestrians.

4.3. Services over mobile networks

Abstract. New wireless network calls for new services that fullfil the requirement in terms of mobility and capacity.

The generalization of a new generation of mobile networks calls for a new set of services and applications. For example:

- Indoor and outdoor positioning
- Service discovery and localisation
- Multicast and quality of services

Quality of service has become the central requirement that users expect from a network. High throughput, service continuity are critical issue for multimedia application over the wireless internet where the bandwidth is more scarce than in the wired world. A significant issue in the ad-hoc domain is that of the integrity of the network itself. Routing protocols allow, according to their specifications, any node to participate in the network - the assumption being that all nodes are behaving well and welcome. If that assumption fails - then the network may be subject to malicious nodes, and the integrity of the network fails. An important security service over mobile networks is to ensure that the integrity of the network is preserved even when attacks are launched against the integrity of the network.

4.4. Community Network

Abstract. There is an increasing demand to deploy network within a community, rural or urban, with cabled or wireless access.

Community networks or citizen network are now frequent in big cities. In America most of the main cities have a community network. A community network is using the communication resource of each member (ADSL, Cable and wireless) to provide a general coverage of a city. Pedestrian in the street or in city mails can communicate via a high speed mobile mesh network. This new trend now appears in Europe with many experiments of the OLSR routing protocol in Paris, Lille, Toulouse, Berlin, Bruxelles, Seattle. The management of such networks is completely distributed and makes them very robust to faults. There is room for smart operators in this business.

5. Software

5.1. Hiperlan driver

Keywords: *Link Layer, Routing.*

Participants: Paul Mühlethaler [correspondant], Marc Badel, Saadi Boudjit.

Abstract. Hiperlan implements multihop routing at link layer

Hiperlan 1 standard proposed a forwarding scheme that performs routing at link layer. We have implemented this routing function in the driver of WiFi card and experimented it in a large scale over the INRIA Rocquencourt campus.

5.2. OLSR softwares

Keywords: *Internet protocol, routing protocol.*

Participants: Cédric Adjih [correspondant], Anis Laouiti, Adokoe Plakoo, Marc Badel, Paul Muhlethaler, Geraud Allard, Dang Nguyen, Pascale Minet, Thomas Clausen.

Abstract. The routing protocol OLSR has been implemented in Linux and Windows for real experiment with Wireless LAN networks. There are also implementations for simulator such as NS-2 and Opnet.

The current version of OLSR, called OOLSR (for Object oriented OLSR), is IETF RFC compliant with multiple interfaces and tunable mobility parameters and has been fully tested during the two successive OLSR Interops in San Diego, August 2004 and Paris August 2005. This version runs with Linux and Windows. The linux daemon is very easy to install and can be downloaded from the web page. There have been more than 6000 downloads of the code which is exceptional for a routing protocol. This version also contains feature adaptable to wireless driver, such as the signal power monitor. It has also be ported on Windows.

Related to OLSR, we have implemented and succesfully tested in linux the Multicast routing protocol SMOLSR (Simple Multicast on OLSR) that efficiently broadcast data on wireless networks using MultiPoint Relays. We have also implemented the MOLSR protocol (Multicast over OLSR) that forwards data to multicast group members on a mesh network built on an OLSR shortest path tree.

Numerous code (including one in Python) have been developped for experiment and simulation (NS-2, Opnet). See <http://hipercom.inria.fr/olsr/>.

Cedric Adjih, implemented the auto configuration algorithm developed in the Network Engineering Lab of Prof. Mase at Nigata University. This is an extension of OOLSR running on Linux and both IPv4 an IPv6.

Other modules have been added to OOLSR dealing with security and QoS. These modules will be experimented on the CELAR platform consisting of 18 nodes.

The code development of the next version of OLSR (OLSRv2) is under progress both at INRIA ROCQUEN-COURT and at POLYTECHNIQUE.

6. New Results

6.1. Medium Access Control protocol performance evaluation

Keywords: *MAC, collision resolution, radio, spatial reuse.*

Medium Access Control algorithm locally performed by communicating nodes allowing an efficient access to and a fair share of a communication resource, *i.e.* a radio frequency or a wired medium.

Abstract. Medium Access Control plays a central role in mobile wireless networking. It must be distributed and provide fair access to the medium with an efficient spatial reuse of frequencies.

Design of efficient MAC protocols for ad hoc networks is a real challenge. The bad effect of hidden collisions is already known for long time ago. As shown by Gupta and Kumar in 2000, the global throughput of *ad hoc* networks is inherently limited under a vast class of realistic assumptions. We have analyzed how CSMA protocols should be optimized to offer the throughput foreseen by Gupta and Kumar in their paper. We have shown that a good tuning of CSMA (carrier sense range and transmission range) can actually allow a very significantly increase in the network throughput: more than 100% of gain depending on scenarios.

We have also designed and analyzed an Aloha scheme optimized for multihop network where locations of nodes is known. This study (joint work with TREC) uses tools of stochastic geometry. The network global through is shown to be, with this extremely simple protocol, in the same order of magnitude than the bound provided Gupta and Kumar.

6.2. The space and time capacity paradoxes of mobile ad hoc networks

Keywords: *Scaling properties, analytical models, routing protocols, transport capacity.*

Participants: Philippe Jacquet, Prateek Mittal.

Abstract. Scaling properties of mobile ad hoc network lead to an increase of global capacity when the network density increases or when the packets can be stored for a while in mobile nodes instead of being immediately retransmitted.

Gupta and Kumar have shown in 2000 that the transport capacity per node in a multihop ad hoc network decreases in $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N \log N}}$, N being the number of nodes in the network. Therefore the global capacity of the network increases in $\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{\log N}}$. This is a surprising results since in wired network a collection of nodes connected to a single communication resource has a transport capacity that just remains constant (*i.e.* the average per node capacity decreases in $\frac{1}{N}$).

We found a more precise instance of this result by using a simple but realistic network model. At each time slot we assume a Poisson distribution of transmitters. Transmitters emit at the same nominal power and signal have the same isotropic attenuation coefficient. It turns out that when the traffic density increases then the average node neighborhood area shrinks so that the average encircled traffic load remains constant. This constant together with the average connection distance gives the factor in front of Gupta and Kumar's $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N \log N}}$.

Therefore adding space to a multihop wireless network increases the capacity: this is the space capacity paradox. The previous model and Gupta and Kumar result assume that the traffic density is constant, which is far from realistic. However we have derived similar generalized results when the traffic density is not uniform. In this case, the heavier is the local traffic, the smaller are the local neighborhood and the larger is the number of hops needed to cross the congested region. Therefore the shortest paths (in hop number as computed by OLSR) will have a natural tendency to avoid congested are. More precisely packet routes tends to asymptotically

behave like light path under non linear optic, the inverse of local neighborhood radius playing the role of non uniform optical index. This self-adaptation of shortest path protocol such as OLSR hints the fact that more optimized protocols with QoS generally don't significantly outperform basic protocol version.

When nodes randomly move, it turns to be more advantageous to store packets for a while on mobile routers instead of forwarding them immediately like hot potatoes. When the mobile router moves closer to the destination, then it can deliver packets on a much smaller number of hops. Of course the delivery delay is much longer, but the network capacity also increases by slowing non urgent packets. This is the time capacity packets: by slowing packets, nodes mobility increases network capacity. This was hinted the first time by Grossglauser and Tse in 2002.

The great challenge is to find the good protocol and tunings that allow to adjust the delivery delay from zero to infinity in order to get a continuous increase in capacity. The challenge is two-sided: one has to keep the delivery delay between reasonable bounds and one has to consider realistic mobility models. We have defined a protocol [ref mwc and itw] that takes advantage of node mobility in a general way. In short the packet stay with its host router as long as the latter does not evade too fast from its next hop (computed via a shortest path protocol such as OLSR). In the way we understand "too fast" stands the tuning parameters we discussed above. There is no need to have node geographical location and to physically measure motion vector, since everything can be done via the analysis of the dynamic of neighborhood intersections. We analytically derived performance evaluation under random walk mobility models. We plan to simulate the protocol in a real mobility scenario. This algorithm has application in Intelligent Transport System.

6.3. Flooding in mobile ad hoc networks

Keywords: *Connected Dominating Set, Flooding, Multi-Point Relays.*

Flooding protocol performed by communicating nodes allowing the dissemination of the same information from one source to all the other nodes in the network.

Abstract. Flooding information plays a central role in mobile ad hoc networking. It is used in order to set or update routing informations over the network. It must be efficient enough in number of retransmission in order to avoid network congestion with control traffic.

Optimizing flooding is a fundamental feature in a multihop wireless network since communication resource is scarce and an overloaded network can completely collapse due to the effect of numerous hidden collisions. On the other side, the way on how flooding operates can impact on the performance of routing protocols. For example reactive protocols extract shortest paths via flooding of the route request packet which is retransmitted exactly once by each node. We have shown that in its current version the shortest path are in average 1.6 time longer than the optimal paths that can be obtained in a proactive protocol such as OLSR.

We have introduced the super-flooding algorithm in which any node retransmits a route request packet copy as often it receives copies via a shorter path than the previously retransmitted route request. This procedure "guarantees" that the shortest path is eventually optimal. Surprisingly the super-flooding does not incur much more overhead than regular flooding, where the route request is retransmitted once by each node. Super-flooding overhead is around twice regular flooding overhead, and it reduces by 60 percent the data traffic overhead.

We have also introduced MPR flooding in a reactive protocol in order to improve the overhead of route request. MPR-flooding greatly improves regular flooding, since only MPR nodes of last hop emitter are eligible for retransmitting the packet. This fact was confirmed by simulation. It also confirmed that MPR-flooding provides much better shortest path than those obtained via regular flooding, since MPR chain contains optimal path and longer chains with no MPR nodes are eliminated.

In particular we have refined the concept of MPR flooding by tuning the concept of coverage, either in order to improve reliability or to decrease the risk of two-hop collisions. We have also specified a source independent dominating set based on MPR sets that can be used as a distributed wireless backbone.

6.4. Optimized Link State Routing (OLSR), OLSR extensions

Routing Protocol Distributed protocol performed by the nodes in a network that allows any source willing to send data to a given destination to activate a chain of dedicated nodes (route) that will forward the packets hop by hop to the destination. Nodes dedicated to forward packets are called router nodes.

Abstract.

The routing protocol is the key component of any mobile ad hoc network, this is the minimum requisite in order to enable communication within the network. We have developed OLSR, an optimized link state routing protocol which is based on MPR flooding. Since OLSR support the whole legacy of internet, it can carry many extensions, some of them specific to mobile ad hoc networking.

The project team has specified the routing protocol based on MPR in mobile ad hoc networks. This protocol has been presented and successfully defended in the working group MANET of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) and presently is an experimental RFC [3]. The protocol scales particularly well when the network density increases and provides optimal path in terms of hop number.

Another contribution of the project lies in the development of source code of the OLSR routing protocol. This included development of a daemon, able to run on real wireless networks, based on 802.11 ad hoc mode. This allowed to use, test, and evaluate ad-hoc networking in real life. Real world measurements were done on a testbed at INRIA, which enabled enhancements of the protocol, taking into account the instability of real radio links. Later, the project team deployed the OLSR protocol in a bigger network on another site, under partnership; performance was thoroughly evaluated: mobility (with different speeds) ; tests of the reactivity of the routing protocol with respect to topology changes ; good behavior of the protocol in a static configuration (neighborhood, no routing loops) ; analysis of available bandwidth depending on the number of hops, ... The code was also ported to industrial simulation environments (like OPNET), and allowing the test the performance of the OLSR protocol, with more stringent topology and networks (higher number of nodes, higher mobility, ...), and which was the subject of extensive study under those conditions.

The Optimized Link State Routing protocol was, in 2003, standardized by the IETF as RFC3626. Publication of OLSR as RFC lead to a large influx of implementations and practical experiments – which revealed the need for an update for OLSR. The IETF MANET working group is, thus, chartered to produce an OLSRv2 document, with the design team for OLSRv2 being lead by Thomas H. Clausen, and containing members from organizations world wide, including industry, military and academia.

OLSRv2 is, for all intents and purposes, algorithmically equivalent to OLSRv1: the MPR optimization, deployment of partial topology and periodic updates have, in reality as well as theory, been shown to be good ideas and are as such carried over. OLSRv2 does champion several new developments, including through support for IPv6, address-compression in the messages being exchanged, as well as an even more general multi-message packet structure, which greatly reduces the implementation complexity of the protocol. Additionally, a new mechanism for dealing with multiple interfaces has been introduced, with the purpose of simplifying this rather complex task.

All in all, the developments of OLSRv2 are the “logical next step” from OLSRv1 – and the fact that this development happens in the context of the IETF and in collaboration with a large international community serves to solidify the position of OLSR as the chosen ad hoc routing protocol.

6.5. Quality of services in mobile ad hoc networks

Keywords: *QoS routing, QoS support, Quality of Service, admission control, bandwidth reservation, class, link interference, packet delay. Queuing), service differentiation.*

Participants: Cédric Adjih, Géraud Allard, Philippe Jacquet, Anis Laouiti, Pascale Minet, Amina Naimi, Dang-Quan Nguyen.

Quality of Service Set of parameters that allow to tune the communication protocol in order to improve and control the quality perceived by user of the applications using the communication medium.

Abstract. The presence of interferences makes the support of quality of service much more complex in radio wireless networks than in wired networks. We can distinguish three main axes in our work on QoS support:

- bandwidth reservation: we show that the problem of link interference makes NP hard the bandwidth reservation problem even in its incremental form. We specified an admission control based on bandwidth allocation heuristic and a packet delivery delay estimator based on analytical methods.
- estimation of packet delay: How to estimate the delay taken by a packet to join its destination ?
- OLSR extension to support QoS: How to conciliate QoS support and optimized flooding ?

6.5.1. Bandwidth reservation on the presence of interferences

In a wireless network, the set of neighbors which with one node can communicate depends on transmission range, and numerous factors, and in addition the transmission range is often lower than the interference range (the range within which a node prevents correct transmissions of other nodes). Thus bandwidth reservation, a crucial step of quality of service, is an important and difficult problem. We have shown that the search of a good path for a new connection that does not destroy the quality of service of existing connections is an NP-hard problem. The result is independent on how the bandwidth nodes interfere as long they interfere at least on one hop. In this area, one contribution was the definition and testing of an efficient reservation algorithm bandwidth reservation, respecting wireless network constraints. A second contribution is more accurate computation of remaining link bandwidth by considering bandwidth on other links multiplied by the average packet retransmission on this link (inverse of packet successful transmission rate).

6.5.2. Distribution of packet delay

On a different perspective we have also worked on the problem of determining the delay distribution of packet delivery in a multihop mobile ad hoc network. In some application such as video and audio streamings we have to find the route that bounds the probability that packet delay delivery exceeds a certain request threshold. The first problem is to estimate the packet delay distribution. Contrary to previous common belief there is no need of network synchronization. Since propagation delays between routers are negligible, most delays occur in queueing and medium access control processing. The main problem is to determine the delay in absence of packet data traffic. This can be done by using the queueing delay of hello message and since the latter are broadcast packet not retransmitted in case of collision by adjusting their delay to unicast packet by estimating their collision rate thanks to the hello loss detected by the receiver.

The estimate of delay distribution is done via analytical method. A new order of magnitude arises when we target to estimate the impact of the new traffic on the current collision probabilities. If we assume that the interference occurs at a certain number of hops we obtain the new loss probabilities via a matrix fixed point non-linear process. This estimate can be latter updated by exact unicast packet delay estimate when the link is used.

The present objective consists into merging the bandwidth assignment problem and the delay estimate problem in a single quality of service control. The idea is to use bandwidth assignments for admission control and the delay estimate for quality of service control. One the network move the packets route may change and the

delay estimate may change, if one connection is not anymore able to achieve its quality of service in term of delay constraint then it is stopped by the source.

In order to keep control on quality of service flows we use source routing forwarding options. Source routing allows route diversity. The sources advertize their route with their bandwidth so that every node in the network can perform the admission control for their own flows.

6.5.3. OLSR extension supporting QoS

We also propose an extension of the OLSR protocol able to support QoS. This extension distinguishes four types of flows listed here by decreasing priority order:

- control flows: OLSR messages belong to control flows. They are processed with the highest priority;
- flows having delay, requirements;
- flows having bandwidth requirements;
- Best Effort flows having no specific QoS requirement.

Only the three last classes are available to user flows. This extension conciliates both optimized flooding and QoS support. For that purpose, two types of MPRs (Multipoint relays) are used:

- the MPRs selected according to RFC 3626, called MPRFs, are used for retransmitting broadcast messages;
- the MPRs selected according to the local available bandwidth, called MPRBs, are used for routing.

Each node locally measures its available bandwidth and includes this information in its Hello. Thus each node knows the available bandwidth of its one-hop and two-hop neighbors. A node selects its MPRFs and its MPRBs and advertises them in its Hello. A node selected as MPRB advertises in a TC (Topology Control) message the minimum available bandwidth in its interference area as well as all its selector nodes with their available bandwidth. The information contained in the TC message allows to build the topology table. This table with the one-hop and two-hop neighbor tables are used to compute the shortest route to any destination in the network according to Dijkstra algorithm. If there are several shortest routes, the one with the largest available bandwidth is chosen. This routing table is used for BE flows, which are routed hop by hop.

Flows with QoS requirements are routed by the source. When a new QoS flow is introduced, the source performs an admission control and finds, if it exists, the shortest route providing the requested QoS. A heuristic is used to estimate the bandwidth consumed by the flow on each node in its interference area. The route obtained is used by the flow as long as it is operational and there is no shortest route providing the requested QoS.

The bandwidth consumed by Best Effort flows is limited by a leaky bucket. This protects QoS flows from the interferences caused by BE flows.

We have shown by simulation that with this OLSR extension supporting QoS:

- routes avoid the loaded areas in the network;
- routes are more stable thanks to source routing, thereby reducing the end-to-end jitter;
- the QoS perceived by the user is close to this requested. For instance, the delivery rate is high and the throughput measured at the destination of each QoS flow is close to the requested one;
- the resource utilization is good because of:
 - the optimized flooding obtained by the multipoint relays, thereby minimizing the number of retransmissions of each broadcast message;
 - the workload sharing: several routes can exist simultaneously between the same (source, destination) pair.

We have also shown that this extension supports node mobility. The QoS improvement is significant with the default OLSR parameters values, up to a node velocity of 20m/s. The implementation of this OLSR extension is under progress.

6.6. Security in mobile ad hoc networks

Keywords: *Attacks, bad relaying of packets, generation of incorrect control messages, integrity of the network, signatures, time-stamps*

Security Set of mechanisms which ensure the integrity of the ad hoc network even in case of malicious attacks against the network connectivity

Abstract. A significant issue in the ad-hoc network domain is that of the integrity of the network itself. Usually the assumption in an ad hoc network is that all nodes are behaving well and are welcome. If that assumption fails - then the network may be subject to malicious nodes, and the integrity of the network may fail. An important service is to be able to keep a correct network connectivity even when the network is subject to intruder nodes which try to break the network integrity.

This issue is a hot issue in ad hoc networks since these networks are inherently open networks. We have reached the following results

- we have designed two security mechanisms to counter most of the attacks when we assume that there is no compromised nodes in the network,
- in presence of compromised nodes we have proposed mechanisms to detect compromised nodes or links and to remove such nodes or links in a numerous configurations of attacks.

We have identified for OLSR five attacks towards the network integrity: the incorrect control message generation attack, the replay attack, the relay attack, the bad data traffic relaying and the bad control traffic relaying attacks. In the incorrect control message generation attacks, intruder nodes try to break the network connectivity by sending incorrect control messages. The replay attack is an incorrect control message generation where the incorrect control messages are the replay of old control messages. The relay attack is an attack where a control message is artificially relayed to another location of the network than the actual location where this message has been sent. This attack results in the creation of non existing links. The bad data or control traffic relaying appears when a node is not correctly relaying data or control traffic.

All these attacks may have important consequences on the network connectivity. Under the assumption that there is a compromised node in the network, we have designed a signature mechanism and time-stamps mechanism to counter all the identified attacks except the relay attack. To use these mechanisms, we need to add to OLSR a new dedicated control packet for each control packets conveying information on the network topology. This additional control packet will be used to authenticate the related topological information. These two mechanisms ensure that intruder nodes can not be part of the network.

Concerning the relay attack, we have shown that the knowledge by the nodes of their own position can be used to mitigate this latter attack.

If we assume that there are compromised nodes in the network, securing OLSR is much more complex. Dedicated mechanisms can be used to detect compromised nodes or links and to remove such nodes or links. A perfect securisation under such an assumption seems to be out of reach. However, we have shown that using only verifiable symmetric links and checking flows conservation can solve numerous configurations of attacks. In an ad hoc network, the secrecy of transmission between nodes can be obtained in point to point with protocols as IPSec. However this approach is not possible for multicast traffics. In such conditions, it could be interesting to use a shared key. We have started to design a group key agreement protocol dedicated for ad hoc network. The secret key that is produced is the result of all the contributions of the members of the group.

6.7. Performance evaluation of routing algorithms in mobile ad hoc networks

Keywords: *IEEE 802.11, analytical models, real experiments, routing protocols, simulations.*

IEEE 802.11 Set of Wireless norms which originated the Wifi standard. The nominal throughputs range from 1 Mbps to 54 Mbps, with radio range between 20 m to 200m. The standard is very popular nowadays.

Abstract. Analytic results from information theory provides very important insight in mobile ad hoc networking. In particular it is shown that the logical neighborhoods of nodes shrink when the local traffic load increases. This property has very important consequence on mobile routing protocol performances and on the ways of interpreting them.

Using simulations and real-life experiment, we have thoroughly investigated the performance of OLSR protocol. This led us to greatly improve the neighbor monitoring that can experience hysteresis. We also have used analytical models for performance evaluations of mobile ad hoc protocols. Surprisingly analytical models are sometimes closer to experiment than simulations. We have used various models such as random graphs for indoor networks, unit disk graph for outdoor networks. We have also used the non-trivial wave propagation model described in the MAC layer section.

We found out that the results of Gupta and Kumar hold with the OLSR protocol. In particular we show that the hello traffic and topology control traffic imposes an upper bound on the maximum neighborhood manageable size by the protocol as predicted by Kumar and Gupta. Therefore when the density of the network increases the neighborhoods shrink and the potential number of hop inversely increase in square root.

The slotted TDMA ignores the effect of defer on signal level of 802.11. Simulations show that without an appropriate signal defer threshold level, the network can completely collapse when the density increases because nodes will always defer on defer signal if the latter is not appropriately tuned. This is the reason why the theoretical result of Gupta and Kumar is never attained in practical experiments. For example OSPF protocol collapses completely with less than 30 nodes because of its control traffic overhead in cube of its size.

6.8. Internet topology and TCP performance analysis

Keywords: *Power laws, asymptotic, long dependence, self-similarity experiments.*

Abstract. We provide an analytical model of power law distributions in the internet topology. We also provide analytical result on the steady state distribution of throughputs in long-lived TCP connection. We proved that power law distribution of round trip delay in the internet can introduce long range dependences in the traffic of many aggregated TCP connections.

We have introduced a model that sustains the power laws that have been recently depicted in the internet topology. We call this models, the self-similar trees, and they explain the power laws in the multicast trees.

We have also provided analytic evaluation of the performance of TCP protocols in large networks which relies on the mean-field methodology. This analysis proves that throughput have log normal distributions when expanded to small values, but disproves that traffic generated by a single TCP source has long dependence.

Using this result and the result about power laws in the internet, we have proven that several TCP connections with power law distributed round trip delays generates long dependence in traffic. This result use an old result about long dependence in on/off traffics.

6.9. Multicast extension for OLSR

Keywords: *OLSR, group management protocol, multicast group, multicast routing protocol.*

Participants: Anis Laouiti, Philippe Jacquet, Pascale Minet, Laurent Viennot, Thomas Clausen, Georges Rodolakis, Cédric Adjih.

Abstract. We have produced a research report describing the Multicast extension for the Optimized Link State Routing protocol (MOLSR). MOLSR is in charge of building a multicast structure in order to route multicast traffic in an ad-hoc network. MOLSR is designed for mobile multicast routers, and works in a heterogenous network composed of simple unicast OLSR routers, MOLSR routers and hosts. In the last part of this document we introduce also a Wireless Internet Group Management Protocol (WIGMP). It offers the possibility for OLSR nodes (without multicast capabilities) to join multicast groups and receive multicast data.

6.10. OLSR and IPv6

Keywords: *IPv6, OLSR, autoconfiguration, neighbor discovery, routing protocol.*

Participants: Emmanuel Baccelli, Cédric Adjih, Thomas Clausen, Anis Laouiti, Pascale Minet, Paul Muhlethaler, Saadi Boudjit.

Abstract. The lack of addresses was one of the reasons that led to develop IPv6. But IPv6 fixes also a number of problems in IPv4 and improves other functionalities such as routing and network configuration. In order to understand how they can affect OLSR, we have first studied the features of IPv6, such as the different address formats, the neighbor discovery protocol, and the autoconfiguration procedure. We then propose changes required by OLSR to work, and to benefit from IPv6 mechanisms.

6.11. Wireless OSPF

Keywords: *wireless OSPF.*

Participants: Emmanuel Baccelli, Thomas Clausen, Philippe Jacquet.

Wireless OSPF

Recent efforts at the IETF aim at creating W-OSPF (wireless OSPF), an extension of the OSPF standard to span on mobile ad hoc networks. This extension is based on the principles and experience of OLSR, and as part of the core IETF team appointed to design this extension, Hipercom is very much involved in this effort. This activity has also been a subject of the collaboration with Hitachi in France and in Japan (in part through the funding of Emmanuel Baccelli). Additionally, a collaboration with Boeing Phantom Works has involved designing, developing and testing the pioneer W-OSPF proposal.

Hipercom's contributions to W-OSPF have been subject to numerous academic publications, as well as several IETF publications.

6.12. MANET NEMO integration

Keywords: *MANET, NEMO.*

Participants: Emmanuel Baccelli, Thomas Clausen, Philippe Jacquet.

MANET-NEMO

Internet edge mobility has been an option for a number of years. Based on the clear division of responsibility among Internet nodes, with some being "unintelligent" edges (hosts) and others being in charge of the network maintenance (routers), protocols such as Mobile IP allows a host to change its point of attachment to the Internet. The mechanism is simple: assign a "home agent" to know the mobile host's current point of attachment to the Internet, and perform tunneling of traffic, arriving at the home agent, to the mobile host. A more general form of this is nemo, in which a group of hosts, all associated with a mobile router, move together. Symmetric to Mobile IP, a "home router" performs the tunneling of traffic to the mobile router.

Nemo allows the notion of "nested networks": a mobile network, which attaches to another mobile network to an arbitrary depth. Employing the mechanisms of informing a "home router" of points of attachment, connectivity can indeed be maintained. However since, in essence, a mobile router would signal its attachment to another (potentially mobile) router without consideration of the fact that if this router was a direct point of attachment to the internet, this approach has a vastly increased overhead (through nested encapsulations) and

sub-optimal paths as the consequence. Subsequently, a number of proposals have been discussed, to what has been called "route optimization in nested nemo networks".

More specifically, this problem can be divided into two parts: route-optimization within the nemo nest (i.e. if a node in a mobile network wishes to communicate to another node in another mobile network, within the same nest), and route optimization with respect to communication to and from the Internet. Many of these proposals have suggested injecting specific nodes with specific responsibilities for "shortcutting" tunnels or construct overlay-networks for more efficiently carry traffic within and to/from nested nemo networks.

Essentially, however, the problem of "route optimization in nested nemo networks" is a routing problem: how to construct paths in a dynamic network, and how to route traffic along these paths in an efficient manner.

Hipercom has, through its involvement in the development of mobile ad-hoc routing protocols, acquired an expertise in this field, and has therefore developed a solution to this route optimization problem. The solution is unique in that it employs classic routing mechanisms, as known from OLSR, to maintain an ad-hoc network between the mobile routers in the nemo nest. This allows for, un-encapsulated, direct communication along optimal paths between any two nodes in any two mobile networks within the same nemo nest. For communication to/from the Internet, the solution proposed by Hipercom cuts away the nested tunneling and sub-optimal routing: through knowing the topology of the nemo nest, it is possible for a mobile router to apply std. mobile IP signaling for informing its home router of its point of attachment to the Internet. Specifically, rather than signaling its immediate point of attachment (which can be another mobile router – yielding nested encapsulations and dog-leg routing), a mobile router would signal its point of attachment as the point where the nemo nest actually attaches to the Internet. The yield of this approach is, that for communication to/from the Internet, only one level of encapsulation is required – which is equivalent to the encapsulation attained by standard mobile IP.

The deployment of OLSR in this context is new, and has therefore yielded academic publications as well as publications within the IETF – where a substantial interest has been shown for the approach from, among others, Cisco and Nokia.

6.13. Auto-configuration in MANET Networks

Keywords: *Auto-configuration.*

Participants: Emmanuel Baccelli, Thomas Clausen, Anis Laouiti, Paul Muhlethaler, Saadi Boudjit, Pascale Minet, Philippe Jacquet, Cedric Adjih.

Auto-configuration

A preconditioning for all routing protocols, OLSR included, is that each node is identifiable through an unique identifier – address. Address auto-configuration is as such a task orthogonal to and required for the operation of a routing protocol. Traditional measures, such as the deployment of centralized configuration servers (such as DHCP) is not well adapted to the manet domain due to the requirement that direct communication between the unconfigured nodes and the configuration server is possible. In a manet, no infrastructure is present ensuring such a communication – indeed, the task of the routing protocol is to establish and maintain a communications infrastructure, however the functioning of the routing protocol is dependent on the nodes having unique addresses.

More generally, the topic of address auto-configuration in OLSR networks is one of multi-hop auto-configuration which, while somewhat esoteric, is of relevance and interest beyond OLSR and manets.

We have developed, and published, a simple auto-configuration mechanism for OLSR networks, aiming a solving the simple but common problem of one or more nodes emerging in an existing network. Our solution is simple, allowing nodes to acquire an address in two steps: first, acquiring a locally unique address from a neighbor node. Then, with that locally unique address and using the neighbor from which the address was acquired as proxy, obtaining a globally unique address.

We recognize that this is a partial solution to the more general problem of autoconfiguration in OLSR networks (which includes disjoint networks, merging networks and disconnected networks), however have proposed this as a pragmatic approach at solving a specific, but large, set of real-world problems.

We have developed and published a set of autoconfiguration algorithms for OLSR. This set of algorithms is based on an efficient address duplication algorithm. We have proved that this scheme works even in the case of multiple conflicts thus this algorithm can handle the case of merging networks. One of these duplication algorithms can work with multiple interfaces and we have also proved its correct operation in that case. For these autoconfiguration algorithms we have evaluated the overhead incurred by the protocol. We have proposed an Internet Draft to the MANET-Autoconf working group of IETF.

Other than an academic publication, we have also presented and discussed the larger topic of autoconfiguration within the IETF. In collaboration with Telecom Italia, a publication outlining the problem-scope and potential solution space has been submitted to and discussed within the IETF, and we are core members in the IETF design-team addressing this issue.

Since the 61th IETF meeting in Washington, in november 2004, efforts have been underways to strengthen the work on MANET autoconfiguration – with the purpose of creating an IETF working group focusing on development of appropriate autoconfiguration mechanisms. This effort was chaired jointly by Thomas Heide Clausen and Shubhranshu Singh (Samsung, Seoul, Korea), and manifested itself in two BOFs (pre-working-group meetings) at the 62th IETF in Minneapolis and again at the 63th IETF in Paris before the IESG (Internet Engineering Steering Group – the IETF technical management layer) approved creation of an AUTOCONF working group immediately prior to the 64th IETF in Vancouver, november 2005. This newly created working group is chaired jointly by Thomas Heide Clausen and Shubhranshu Singh (Samsung), and is chartered to produce a number of results, including:

- a MANET architecture document, laying out the difference between a MANET and “the rest of the Internet”, both in philosophical and practical terms. This document is important in that it will be the cornerstone in educating the wider IETF community on the technical aspects of MANETs
- an autoconfiguration problem statement, detailing the problem of autoconfiguring MANETs, as well as laying out the possible solution space.
- a (number of) solution(s) for standardization as the way in which nodes can be autoconfigured within a MANET.

The initial scope of this working group is 2 years.

It is worth mentioning that the process of creating the working group (i.e. nov 2004-nov 2005) has involved expending a huge effort in educating and informing members of the wider IETF (and more generally,Internet) community as to the importance of MANETs as well as the differences between MANETs and other networks. The successful creation of the AUTOCONF working group is a recognition that this effort was needed and appreciated.

6.14. Real-time scheduling

Keywords: *DiffServ, EDF, FIFO, QoS, fixed priority scheduling, trajectory approach, worst case response time.*

Participants: Steven Martin [University of Paris-Sud 11,MdC], Pascale Minet, Laurent George [ECE].

Real-time scheduling

Abstract. With regard to real-time scheduling, we are interested in providing deterministic end-to-end guarantees to real-time flows in a network. We focus on two QoS *Quality of Service* parameters: the end-to-end response time and the end-to-end jitter, parameters of the utmost importance for such flows. Our worst case analysis allows to provide deterministic guarantees to these flows. Our new results can be applied to networks applying non-preemptive Fixed Priority scheduling, where messages sharing the same fixed priority are scheduled either FIFO or EDF (Earliest Deadline First).

With regard to real-time scheduling, our new results concern:

- Uniprocessor scheduling: improvement of the Fixed Priority schedulability with dynamic priorities;
- Distributed case: the trajectory approach;
- Applications: reliable multicasts, multicast multimedia flows.

6.14.1. Uniprocessor scheduling: improvement of the Fixed Priority schedulability with dynamic priorities

Fixed Priority (FP) scheduling has been extensively studied in the last years. Indeed, it exhibits interesting properties:

- The impact of a new flow τ_i is limited to flows having priorities smaller than this of τ_i ;
- It is easy to implement;
- It is well adapted for service differentiation: flows with high priorities have smaller response times.

In the state of the art, the worst case analysis assumes that flows sharing the same priority are arbitrarily scheduled. However, the most widespread implementation of FP is FP/FIFO, that is packets sharing the same fixed priority are scheduled according to their arrival order in the node considered. But this is not taking into account in the worst case response time analysis. That is why we revisit classical deterministic results in the uniprocessor case for non-preemptive FP/DP (Fixed Priority/Dynamic Priority) scheduling. For this purpose, with any flow are associated a fixed priority denoting the importance of the flow and a temporal parameter used to compute the dynamic priority of a flow packet. We are interested in providing the worst case response time of any flow, taking into account this dynamic priority in the schedulability analysis. The two QoS parameters expressed by an application willing to transmit a flow in the network are:

- *the importance degree of a flow*, which is associated with a fixed priority. This parameter can be considered as the criticality of the flow. The importance degree is considered as the primary scheduling criterion.
- *a temporal parameter*, which is used to compute the dynamic priority of a flow packet. This parameter is only considered to arbitrate among flows having the same importance degree. We can give examples of dynamic priorities:
 - If packets sharing the same fixed priority are scheduled according to their generation times, the scheduling is called FP/FIFO. The dynamic priority of a packet is based on its generation time.
 - If packets sharing the same fixed priority are scheduled according to their absolute deadlines, the scheduling is called FP/EDF, with EDF the Earliest Deadline First algorithm. The dynamic priority of a packet is then based on its absolute deadline. Moreover, the implementation complexity of FP/EDF is smaller than this of EDF.

Assumption *The dynamic priority of a packet is related to its generation time and, once assigned, does not evolve with time.*

By a worst case analysis, we prove that any sporadic flow set feasible with FP scheduling is feasible with FP/DP, but the converse is false. We evaluate the improvement of the schedulability region with FP/FIFO or FP/EDF versus FP. We show that, if all flows sharing the same fixed priority have the same processing time, FP/EDF dominates FP/FIFO.

6.14.2. Distributed case: the trajectory approach

We are interested in providing deterministic bounds on the end-to-end response times and jitters to real-time flows in a distributed system. We propose, in each node, to schedule flows according to a non-preemptive FP/DP* scheduling. Then:

- Packets are scheduled first according to the fixed priority of the flow they belong to;
- Packets sharing the same fixed priority are scheduled according to their dynamic priority, computed on the first visited node.

The use of the dynamic priority as a secondary scheduling parameter can provide a better service differentiation, taking into account the QoS requirements expressed by the applications. Most famous FP/DP* scheduling algorithms are FP/FIFO* and FP/EDF*. It is important to notice that with FP/DP*, the order of packet priority does not depend on the node considered: it is fixed. Unlike *Dynamic Priority*, DP* does not require to synchronize all clocks in the network but only those of ingress nodes, where dynamic priorities are assigned to flow packets. Moreover, our solution is compliant with the current trend that reduces the processing done by the core nodes, pushing the complexity at the boundary of the network.

Unlike the state of the art, we take into account the FP/DP* scheduling to compute the worst case end-to-end response times, using the trajectory approach. Unlike the holistic approach, the trajectory approach is based on the analysis of the worst case scenario experienced by a packet on its trajectory and not on any node visited. Then, only possible scenarios are examined. More precisely, to compute the worst case end-to-end response time of any packet m , the trajectory approach consists in moving backwards through the sequence of nodes m visits, each time identifying preceding packets and busy periods that ultimately affect the delay of m .

We show that the trajectory approach provides bounds more accurate than those provided by the holistic approach. Our bounds are reached in various configurations. Moreover, our results, valid for FP/DP* scheduling, can be applied to FP/FIFO*, FP/EDF* and FP. We also show how to derive an admission control, based on these results.

6.14.3. Applications: reliable multicasts, multicast multimedia flows

We propose a family of multicast protocols preserving consistency constraints. The information multicast can be for instance a transaction to execute or a multimedia content to deliver. Depending on the targeted application, different multicasts may be required. We propose to characterize the different properties of multicast protocols. We then propose a reliable multicast tolerating node crashes and network omissions. We have then built on top of it a total order depending on the consistency required by the application. The total order relies on the worst-case end-to-end response of any multicast flow. We show how to determine it with the trajectory approach, less pessimistic than the holistic one.

We also focus on deterministic Quality of Service (QoS) guarantees in terms of end-to-end response time and jitter that can be granted to multicast multimedia applications. These deterministic guarantees are based on a worst case analysis. With regard to the computation of the bound on the end-to-end response time, we first show that the trajectory approach dominates the holistic one, whatever the scheduling policy used in the node. We then design a solution based on this result for multicast multimedia applications subject to end-to-end deadline and jitter constraints. The solution we propose allows to achieve a fair delivery of the multimedia content to a group of clients. This solution is a basic block that can be used to design new interactive multimedia applications, such as live multicast, voting games and question/answer games. Because of the domination property, this solution allows to accept more flows meeting the requested QoS. Moreover, this solution can be easily implemented on existing routers.

6.14.4. Deterministic and probabilistic guarantees of quality of service

Participants: Leila Azouz Saidane (Pr, ENSI, Tunis), Pascale Minet (INRIA), Steven Martin (MdC, University of Paris-Sud 11), Ines Korbi (ENSI, Tunis), Slim Ben Ayed (ENSI, Tunis), Dorsaf Fayeche (ENSI, Tunis), Karima Malaoui (ENSI, Tunis), Fadhel Maina (ENSI, Tunis), Skander Azzaz (ENSI, Tunis), Antoine Mercier (ECE).

6.14.4.1. Coexistence of deterministic and probabilistic QoS guarantees

We are interested in providing quantitative Quality of Service (QoS) guarantees to various types of real-time applications. We focus more particularly on the response time provided to such applications. Hard real-time applications have strong deadline requirements whereas soft real-time applications have only soft deadline requirements. We propose a solution where hard real-time applications receive a deterministic QoS guarantee whereas soft real-time applications receive a probabilistic QoS guarantee. This solution combines the advantages of the deterministic approach and the probabilistic one: the hard real-time applications have a strong guarantee of meeting their deadlines and the resource utilization is good thanks to probabilistic guarantees. The deterministic approach is based on a worst case analysis. The probabilistic approach uses a mathematical model to compute the probability density function to obtain the probability that the response time exceeds a given value. We derive from these results an admission control that allows each accepted real-time application to receive a quantitative QoS guarantee adapted to its QoS requirements.

6.14.4.2. Applications: real-time traffics in a DiffServ/MPLS architecture

In this study, we are interested in providing quantitative end-to-end guarantees to real-time applications in the Internet. We focus on two QoS *Quality of Service* parameters: the end-to-end response time and the end-to-end jitter, parameters of the utmost importance for such applications. We propose a solution, very simple to deploy, based on a combination of DiffServ and MPLS. The *Expedited Forwarding* (EF) class of the *Differentiated Services* (DiffServ) model is well adapted for real-time applications as it is designed for flows with end-to-end real-time constraints. Moreover *MultiProtocol Label Switching* (MPLS), when applied in a DiffServ architecture, is an efficient solution for providing QoS routing. We assume that the EF class has the highest priority and EF packets are scheduled FIFO within this class. The deterministic bound obtained in the worst case scenarios can be reached infrequently and leads to network overdimensioning. That is why we have developed mathematical models to evaluate the probability of meeting a specified end-to-end delay. We show that delays much smaller than the deterministic bound can be guaranteed with probabilities close to one. An admission control derived from these results is then proposed, providing a probabilistic QoS guarantee to EF flows.

6.14.5. CBQ scheduling applied to an ad-hoc network

Participants: Leila Boukhalfa (ESIGETEL, PhD student), Pascale Minet (INRIA), Laurent Georges (University of Paris 12), Serge Midonnet (ESIGETEL).

We study the impact of the scheduling policy used by each MANET node on the QoS granted to flows. We first show how to use WCBQ, a Class Based Queueing scheduling, in a wireless network. We then compare the performances achieved by WCBQ and Priority Queueing. Simulation results show that WCBQ protects flows with low throughput. All flows receive a bandwidth proportionally to their weights. WCBQ tends to minimize the variations of the average bandwidth granted to a flow as well as the end-to-end delay. We finally propose two solutions allowing the coexistence of flows with delay requirements and bandwidth requirements. These solutions guarantee a low delay to low throughput flows without penalizing the bandwidth granted to other flows.

6.14.6. Introducing QoS in Bluetooth

Participants: Antoine Mercier (ECE, PhD student), Pascale Minet (INRIA), Laurent Georges (University of Paris 12).

In this study, we focus on the Bluetooth wireless network, analyzing its ability to support Quality of Service (QoS) requirements defined by the application. In particular, we are interested in two QoS parameters: (i) an application constraint denoting the importance degree of a message, and (ii) an end-to-end delivery deadline. The QoS perceived by the application depends on the efficiency of the scheduling schemes chosen at the medium access layer. As an example of classical scheduling schemes, we analyze performances of One-Round Robin (1-RR) and show that it does not provide a sufficient service differentiation. To achieve better service differentiation, we first present enhancements accounting locally for the two QoS parameters.

These enhancements are applied to 1-RR scheduling scheme. We then compare the two versions by evaluating in each class, the average message response time and the percentage of messages missing their deadline. We then introduce global enhancements for the intra-piconet scheduling. So, we define a new Bluetooth global scheduling, called Class-Based Earliest Deadline First (CB-EDF) that takes into account both locally and globally these two QoS parameters. Simulation results show that CB-EDF achieves a good service differentiation and allows the coexistence of messages with different application constraints on the same link.

7. Contracts and Grants with Industry

7.1. CELAR

Participants: Cédric Adjih, Marc Badel, Philippe Jacquet, Anis Laouiti, Pascale Minet, Paul Muhlethaler, Dang Nguyen, Géraud Allard, Adokoé Plakoo, Philippe Jacquet.

The CELAR project has started in April 2004 for three years. Its aim is to enhance the demonstrator of mobile ad-hoc network MANET/OLSR, previously implemented with secured routing, quality of service (QoS), and an OLSR/OSPF gateway. This project is funded by CELAR (Centre d'Electronique de l'Armement, French MoD/DGA). This testbed allows CELAR to make demonstrations with a real mobile ad-hoc network, and evaluate the potential benefits of such a network in military tactical applications, with a special focus on performances and reliability. It is made up of 18 nodes: 10 OLSR routers and 8 terminals (VAIOs and iPAQs). Routers and terminals are equipped with 802.11b cards and measurements tools. They implement the OLSR routing protocol. Performance measurements have been done in various configurations including different traffic types, network topology and mobility (e.g., pedestrian and/or vehicle).

More precisely, this project addresses four topics :

- *secured routing*: in a mobile ad-hoc network, the use of secured tunnels is not sufficient to protect routing against potential attacks. First, we have analyzed potential attacks against OLSR routing and we have precised our security goal. In the attacks, we also consider the case of compromised nodes in the network. These nodes have a certain knowledge of the network secret keys. In the case where the network does not encompass compromised nodes, we have design a security architecture which can counter most of the identified attacks except the wormhole attack. This security architecture is base on timestamping and signing message. For the case where there is compromised nodes in the network we have proposed various scheme to mitigate the effects of attacks in such a situation.
- *QoS management*: it is more complex in mobile ad-hoc networks than in wired networks because of their high dynamicity, the presence of interferences and the limited resources. We propose an extension of OLSR conciliating both QoS support and optimized flooding. Flows having QoS requirements are source routed on the shortest path providing the requested bandwidth. Best effort flows are routed hop by hop and ruled by leaky buckets. Simulation results show that QoS flows receive a throughput close to this request and have a high delivery rate. Moreover, this extension supports node mobility : up to 20m/s with the default OLSR parameter values.
- *OLSR/OSPF gateway*: the aim is to allow exchanges of routing information between an OLSR network and an OSPF network. As both routing procols are link based, the OLSR/OSPF gateway will take advantage of this similarity.
- *up-to-date version of OLSR*: this CELAR testbed has been carried up on the OLSR version compliant with the RFC 3626.

Each topic includes a theoretical study followed by an implementation on the testbed and performance evaluation.

7.2. SAFARI

Participants: Géraud Allard, Saadi Boudjit, Philippe Jacquet, Amina Naimi, Dang Nguyen.

The partners of SAFARI are France Telecom R& D, Alcatel, INRIA, University Paris 6, University Paris 11, University of Strasbourg, INSA, IMAG, SNCF, ENST. The projects goals consists in building a protocol database (QoS, mobility, auto-configuration, security, etc) in order to deploy and manage a wireless ad hoc network connected to wired access point. The protocols will be developed above existing standards such as IPv6, multicast, application proxies, etc. Two main components will be developed:

- mobile terminals, these entities play the role of both user terminal and wireless routers in the ad hoc network;
- gateways, these entities will have two interface: a wireless interface toward the ad hoc network and a wired interface toward the wired internet.

These protocols will be deployed and experimented in two test beds: the telecom museum and a railway station. The Hipercom team is in charge of the mobile ad hoc routing (with Paris 11), the QoS management and some part of self-configuration in IPv6.

7.3. Hitachi

Participants: Cedric Adjih, Emmanuel Baccelli, Thomas Clausen, Philippe Jacquet, Anis Laouiti.

Hitachi has started a long term collaboration with INRIA. Hipercom collaborates with Hitachi on mobile ad hoc routing, in particular about Quality of Service, IPv6 and mobile protocols. Hitachi has made numerous experiments of vehicular communication over OLSR. Hitachi is also an active participant in the WIDE project (Japan) with whom Hipercom has strong connections.

During 2005, we have benefited from close collaborations with Hitachi (Japan and Europe) on a number of areas: Thomas Heide Clausen's participation in IETF meetings have, in part, been sponsored directly by Hitachi Europe, as has the participation of Emmanuel Baccelli in IETF meetings during 2005 been completely supported directly by Hitachi Europe. On the technical front, Thomas Heide Clausen attended several joint meetings with Hitachi, both in France and in Japan, on the subject of security in OLSR and development and deployment of OLSRv2.

Emmanuel Baccelli spent a few months in the spring in Japan, collaborating with Hitachi SDL. Also, Hitachi Europe sponsored the 2nd OLSR Interop/Workshop, held in conjunction with the 63th IETF in Paris in August 2005.

7.4. Samsung

Participants: Cedric Adjih, Songyeon Cho, Thomas Clausen, Philippe Jacquet, Anis Laouiti.

Hipercom has started a very close collaboration with Samsung electronics. The collaboration consists into developing and testing multicast protocols over OLSR. An engineer from Samsung has worked with the project team during several month in Rocquencourt. Two multicast protocols have been developed: SMOLSR (Simplified Multicast OLSR) and MOLSR (Multicast OLSR). The second one was already a draft in the IETF. In the context of establishing the MANET AUTOCONF working group within the IETF, close collaboration with Samsung Advanced Institute of Technology (SAIT) was developed. SAIT is the "research branch" of Samsung. Thomas Heide Clausen was invited by SAIT to give a seminar on OLSR and MANETs, as well as had numerous meetings with Shubhranshu Singh (SAIT) prior to creation of the AUTOCONF working group. Also, Thomas Heide Clausen was invited to give a seminar at Samsung Software Center on the topic on OLSR deployment and performance. Samsung Software Center is a "development branch" of Samsung, mainly preparing technologies for production.

8. Dissemination

8.1. University teaching

Philippe Jacquet taught :

- in the MPRI MASTER,
- Petite classe en informatique fondamentale, Ecole Polytechnique,
- at the EPITA school.

Pascale Minet taught :

- networks and quality of service in Master "Systèmes Electroniques et Traitement de l'Information", at INSTN (Saclay).
- routing in mobile ad-hoc networks in Master "Informatique Fondamentale et Applications" of the university of Marne-la-Vallée.

Participation of Paul Muhlethaler to Lessons "Ad hoc Networks", The 802.11 Standard and wireless Network and for ENST B.

Cedric Adjih spent five month as invited researcher in Niigata university in the laboratory of Pr. Mase.

Thanks to the contacts created during the IETF meetings, we have started a fruitful close collaboration with Niigata University (prof. K. Mase) about mobile ad hoc networking.

T. Clausen was one of the three keynote speakers, on the 1st ad-hoc networking symposium in Japan in the spring, as well as to give a lecture at Niigata U. T. Clausen and K. Mase have submitted an IETF draft about link packet buffering in mobile networks. When a radio link fails, the packet are locally stored until the link recovers or a new route is discovered. This can be an interesting extension of mobile ad hoc network but should not be mandatory since buffer capacity might be limited on wireless routers.

Philippe Jacquet has been invited as the first keynote speaker in the second wireless networking workshop organized by Niigata university on November 18.

Cedric Adjih spent five month as invited researcher in Niigata university in the laboratory of Pr. Mase. He studied self-configuration for IPv6 Manets and took part to the implementation and deployment of the Niigata mobile ad hoc testbed, the largest in Japan with more than 100 nodes. Japan is the leading country in the experiments of mobile ad hoc networks for civilian use such as intelligent transportation systems. He worked on an autoconfiguration method for MANET and OLSR networks (which was submitted to IETF).

Through common IETF activities with R. Wakikawa and K. Uehara, Hipercom has developed strong links with Keio University in Japan. This has recently been formalized through a "memo of understanding", between Hipercom and Keio University. Several joint academic publications as well as IETF publications have been the fruits of this collaboration on various subjects such as porting OLSR on BSD-ZEBRA, MANET-NEMO convergence, OLSR for IPv6.

This collaboration is also enabling Hipercom to take part in the WIDE consortium in Japan uniting Keio University (with J. Murai, the Japanese Internet pioneer) and several industry heavy weights such as Hitachi, Mitsubishi, KDDI, NTT and other Japanese universities and companies. This initiative is among other things organizing a large scale testing of OLSR on vehicles (with a prospect for testing OLSR on 1500 cars), which promises to be an extremely valuable experience for Hipercom, as no such scale study has been carried out to date.

Within the project STIC INRIA - Tunisian Universities entitled "Guarantee of Quality of Service in Networks", Professor Leila Azouz Saidane from ENSI was invited by INRIA in June and December. Her seven students Slim Ben Ayed, Dorsaf Fayeche, Ines El Korbi, Karima Malaoui, Fadhel Mounia, Sahla Masmoudi and Skander Azzaz came at INRIA for a training in September and December. Pascale Minet and Anis Laouiti were invited by ENSI in April and October.

8.2. Participation to workshops, invitations

8.2.1. Participation of Hipercom

Philippe Jacquet was an invited speaker at the following schools/conferences :

- ING 2005, Monreuil sur mer, June, where he presented 'Le routage dans les reseaux mobiles ad hoc',
- Information Theory beyond Shannon, Orlando, October, where he presented 'Physical limits in information theory'.

He was also PhD adviser of :

- Amina Naimi, September 2005,
- Geraud Allard, September 2005,

He was PhD reviewer of the ability to advise PhD students of

- Isabelle Guerin-Lassous, December 2005,

He was Jury member of the ability to advise PhD students of

- Laurent Viennot, November 2005,

He was Jury member of the PhD defense of :

- Daniele Raffo, September 2005,
- Hakim Badis, December 2005.

Pascale Minet was reviewer of the PhD Thesis of Pirro Bracka, "Une architecture de contrôle de mobilité pour le routage de messages dans un réseau ad hoc de grande taille", University of Marne-la-Vallée, September 2005.

She was member of the program committee of:

- SPECTS'2005, 2005 International Symposium on Performance Evaluation of Computer and Telecommunication Systems, June 2005.
- Med-Hoc-Net'2005, 4th Mediterranean Workshop on Ad-Hoc Networks, Porquerolles, June 2005.
- SNPD'2005, 6th International Conference on Software Engineering, Artificial Intelligence, Networking and Parallel/Distributed Computing, Towson, Maryland, May 2005.
- SAWN'2005, 1st ACIS International Workshop on Self-Assembling Wireless Networks, Towson, Maryland, May 2005.
- SERA'2005 (Int. Conf. on Software Engineering Research & Applications), Los Angeles, California, May 2005.
- RTS'2005 (Real Time Embedded Systems), Paris, April 2005.
- CFIP'2005 (Colloque Francophone sur l'Ingénierie des Protocoles), Bordeaux, March 2005.

Pascale Minet was member of the editorial board of the International Journal of Computer and Information Science, IJCIS and reviewer for the International Journal of Communication Systems, IJCS.

She was invited to give a presentation of her work at IRISA during the workshop entitled 'Tools for dynamic and scalable systems', organized by the ADEPT project.

With regard to standardization, Pascale Minet took part to the 64th IETF meeting in Vancouver, Canada. She also made presentations at the following NATO WBWF/NBWF meetings:

- in Utrecht, NL, February 2005, the presentation was entitled 'MANETs: the AODV and OLSR routing protocols';
- in Rennes, June 2005, the presentation title was 'Quality of service and scalability with OLSR'.

Participation of Paul Muhlethaler to the Chairman of the Wifi commission of ETNA.

Paul Muhlethaler was :

- president for PhD defense committee of Alden Ksentini from University of Cergy Pontoise,
- reviewer of PhD of Herve Dubreuil from ENST,

Paul Muhlethaler is Scientist adviser for Luceor. He gave presentations to DNAC 2005 (Assouan), Euroforum 2005 (Paris) and the INRIA workshop on security (Grenoble).

Cedric Adjih was participant in the PhD Comitee of Jean-Pierre Chanet au Limos.

He also gave talks at Niigata University.

Anis Laouiti presented Hipercom activities to the newly arrived INRIA staff. He also initiated some collaborative work about OLSR with the LISIF Lab of Paris VI University.

Dang-Quan Nguyen presented his work on the extension of OLSR supporting QoS :

- at ENST Paris in October 2005,
- at LIP6 (University of Paris VI) in August 2005

8.2.2. Organization of Hipercom

2nd OLSR Interop/Workshop

Immediately prior to the 63th IETF in Paris, the second OLSR Interop / Workshop was organized on July 28-29 on the grounds of Ecole Polytechnique. The workshop accepted 30 participants, selected with the purpose of have a good balance between academia and industry while at the same time keeping the size and scope such that the workshop could be a WORKshop – i.e. be interactive and productive. The event was divided into two: the 28/7, an Interoperability testing was organized, where the participants would bring different implementations and hardware along and test both performance and interoperability of different OLSR implementations. It is worth mentioning that about 30 participants were present, representing a total of 15 OLSR implementations (IPv4 and IPv6) – and that the conclusion of the event was that while there were problems with the MAC layers used, OLSR was working quite well. On the 29/7, a workshop was organized, at which 11 papers were accepted and discussed. We emphasize that the event was organized (by selecting and limiting the number of participants) to encourage participation and discussion, rather than one-way communication from the speaker to the audience – and were pleased to notice that the workshop was very interactive. The last third of the workshop was dedicated to OLSRv2 discussions – with OLSRv2 being the logical next-step from OLSR, including better IPv6 support etc. The OLSR Interop/Workshop being an annual event, the objective for 2006 is to organize a 3rd OLSR Interop/Workshop.

9. Bibliography

Major publications by the team in recent years

- [1] C. ADJIH, L. GEORGIADIS, P. JACQUET, W. SZPANKOWSKI. *Is the internet fractal ?*, in "SODA 2002, San Francisco, USA", 2002.
- [2] C. ADJIH, P. JACQUET, N. VVEDENSKAYA. *Performance evaluation of a single queue under multi-user TCP connections*, Technical report, INRIA, March 2001, <http://www.inria.fr/rrrt/rr-4141.html>.

- [3] T. CLAUSEN, P. JACQUET, A. LAOUITI, P. MÜHLETHALER, P. MINET, A. QAYYUM, C. ADJIH, L. VIENNOT. *RFC 3626*, in "Optimized Link State Routing Protocol (OLSR), MANET working group", 2003.
- [4] L. GEORGE, S. KAMOUN, P. MINET. *First come first served: some results for real-time scheduling*, in "ISCA 14th int. conf. on Parallel and Distributed Computing System PDCS'2001, Dallas, Texas", August 2001.
- [5] L. GEORGE, D. MARINCA, P. MINET. *A solution for a deterministic QoS in multimedia systems*, in "International Journal on Computer and Information Science", vol. 1, n° 3, October 2000, p. 106-119.
- [6] P. JACQUET. *Traffic and queueing from an unbounded set of independent memoryless on/off sources*, K. PARK, W. WILLINGER (editors). , chap. 11, Wiley, 2000, p. 269-283.
- [7] P. JACQUET. *Elements de théorie analytique de l'information, modélisation et évaluation de performances*, mémoire d'habilitation, Ph. D. Thesis, Université de Versailles Saint-Quentin, juillet 1998.
- [8] P. JACQUET. *Long term dependences and heavy tails in traffics and queues generated by memoriless on/off sources in series*, Technical report, n° RR-3516, INRIA, 1998, <http://www.inria.fr/rrrt/rr-3516.html>.
- [9] P. JACQUET, V. JOLY. *Capacity of retro-information channels*, in "2000 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory, Sorrento, Italie", June 2000, 182.
- [10] P. JACQUET, P. MINET, A. LAOUITI, L. VIENNOT, T. CLAUSEN, C. ADJIH. *Multicast Optimized Link State Routing*, Technical report, IETF, December 2001.
- [11] P. JACQUET, P. MINET, P. MÜHLETHALER, N. RIVIERRE. *Data transfer for HIPERLAN*, in "Wireless Personal Communications", special issue on the HIgh PERformance Radio Local Area Network (HIPERLAN), vol. 1, n° 4, January 1997.
- [12] P. JACQUET, P. MINET, P. MÜHLETHALER, N. RIVIERRE. *Increasing reliability in cable-free radio LANs - low level forwarding in HIPERLAN*, in "Wireless Personal Communications", special issue on the HIgh PERformance Radio Local Area Network (HIPERLAN), vol. 1, n° 4, January 1997.
- [13] P. JACQUET, P. MINET, P. MÜHLETHALER, N. RIVIERRE. *Priority and collision detection with active signaling - the channel access mechanism of HIPERLAN*, in "Wireless Personal Communications", special issue on the HIgh PERformance Radio Local Area Network (HIPERLAN), vol. 1, n° 4, January 1997.
- [14] P. JACQUET, W. SZPANKOWSKI. *Analytical depoissonization and its applications*, in "Fundamental Study", vol. 201, n° 1-2, 1998.
- [15] P. JACQUET, W. SZPANKOWSKI. *Entropy calculation via analytic depoissonization*, in "IEEE Transaction on Information Theory", vol. 45, n° 4, May 1999.
- [16] S. KAMOUN, P. MINET. *Periodic scheduling of distributed tasks with consistency and hard real-time constraints*, in "International journal of computer and information science", ed. International association for computer & information science, ACIS. ISSN 1525-9293, vol. 2, n° 3, September 2001, p. 106-118.

- [17] A. LAOUITI, A. QAYYUM, L. VIENNOT. *Multipoint Relaying: An Efficient Technique for Flooding in Mobile Wireless Networks*, in "35th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS'2001)", IEEE Computer Society, 2001.
- [18] P. MINET. *Temps réel, tolérance aux fautes et cohérence dans les systèmes distribués*, mémoire d'habilitation, Ph. D. Thesis, Université de Versailles Saint-Quentin, septembre 1998.
- [19] P. MÜHLEHALER. *Contribution à la conception et à l'analyse d'algorithmes pour l'accès multiple et l'ordonnancement sous contraintes temporelles*, mémoire d'habilitation, Ph. D. Thesis, Université de Versailles Saint-Quentin, juillet 1998.
- [20] A. QAYYUM. *Analysis and evaluation of channel access schemes and routing protocols in wireless LANs*, Ph. D. Thesis, Université de Paris Sud, November 2000.
- [21] RES-10. *Radio Equipment and Systems (RES); High Performance Radio Local Area Network (HIPERLAN); Functional specification*, Technical report, ETSI, June 1996.
- [22] L. VIENNOT. *Complexity Results on Election of Multipoint Relays in Wireless Networks*, Technical report, n° RR-3584, INRIA Rocquencourt, 1998, <http://www.inria.fr/rrrt/rr-3584.html>.

Doctoral dissertations and Habilitation theses

- [23] G. ALLARD. *Distribution des ressources et routage dans les réseaux mobiles ad hoc*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Paris 6, September 2005.
- [24] A. NAIMI. *Délai et routage dans les réseaux ad hoc 802.11*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Versailles - Saint Quentin, September 2005.
- [25] D. RAFFO. *Schémas de sécurité pour le protocole OLSR pour les réseaux ad hoc*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Paris 6, September 2005.

Articles in refereed journals and book chapters

- [26] C. ADJIH, E. BACCELLI, T. CLAUSEN, P. JACQUET, G. RODOLAKIS. *Fish Eye OLSR Scaling Properties*, in "JCN Special Issue on Mobile Ad Hoc Wireless Networks", December 2004.
- [27] C. ADJIH, P. JACQUET, L. VIENNOT. *Computing Connected Dominated Sets with Multipoint Relays*, in "Ad Hoc & Sensor Wireless Networks", vol. 1, n° 1-2, 2005.
- [28] F. BACCELLI, B. BŁASZCZYSZYN, P. MÜHLEHALER. *An Aloha Protocol for Multihop Mobile Wireless Networks*, in "IEEE Transactions of Information Theory", to appear 2005.
- [29] S. MARTIN, P. MINET, L. GEORGE. *End-to-end response time with fixed priority scheduling: trajectory approach versus holistic approach*, in "International Journal of Communication Systems", Wiley, vol. 18, 2005.

- [30] S. MARTIN, P. MINET, L. GEORGE. *Improving Fixed Priority schedulability with Dynamic Priority as secondary criterion*, in "Journal of Embedded Computing, JEC", IOS Press, vol. special issue, to appear 2005.
- [31] S. MARTIN, P. MINET, L. GEORGE. *The trajectory approach for the end-to-end response times with non-preemptive FP/EDF*, in "Lecture Notes in Computer Science, LNCS", Springer Verlag, vol. 3647/2005, n° Software Engineering Research and Applications, June 2005.
- [32] S. MARTIN, P. MINET. *Improving the analysis of distributed non-preemptive FP/DP* with the trajectory approach*, in "Telecommunication Systems", Springer-Verlag, vol. 30, n° 1-3, September 2005.
- [33] A. MERCIER, P. MINET, L. GEORGE. *A class-based EDF scheduling for Bluetooth piconet*, in "Ad Hoc & Sensor Wireless Networks: An International Journal", Old City Publishing, vol. 1, n° 2, March 2005.
- [34] T. PLESSE, C. ADJIH, P. MINET, A. LAOUITI, A. PLAKOO, M. BADEL, P. MÜHLETHALER, P. JACQUET, J. LECOMTE. *OLSR performance measurement in a military mobile ad-hoc network*, in "Ad Hoc Networks Journal", Elsevier, vol. 3/5, n° special issue on Data communication and topology control in ad-hoc networks, September 2005.

Publications in Conferences and Workshops

- [35] C. ADJIH, P. MINET, T. PLESSE, A. LAOUITI, A. PLAKOO, P. MÜHLETHALER, P. JACQUET, J. LECOMTE. *Experiments with OLSR routing in a MANET*, in "Information Systems Technology NATO Symposium, Roma, Italy", April 2005.
- [36] C. ADJIH, D. RAFFO, P. MÜHLETHALER. *Attacks Against OLSR: Distributed Key Management for Security*, in "2nd OLSR Interop / Workshop, Palaiseau, France", July 28-29 2005.
- [37] G. ALLARD, P. JACQUET, B. MANS. *Routing in extremely mobile networks*, in "Fourth Annual Mediterranean Ad Hoc Networking Workshop, MedHocNet'2005, Porquerolles, France", June 2005.
- [38] G. ALLARD, B. MANS. *Reducing the energy drain in multihop ad hoc networks*, in "International Workshop on Localized Communication and Topology Protocols for Ad Hoc Networks, LOCAN 2005, Washington, DC", November 2005.
- [39] S. BOUDJIT, C. ADJIH, A. LAOUITI, P. MÜHLETHALER. *A duplicate address detection and autoconfiguration mechanism for a single-interface OLSR network*, in "Proceedings of AINTEC, Bangkok, Thailand", December 13–15 2005.
- [40] S. BOUDJIT, A. LAOUITI, P. MÜHLETHALER, C. ADJIH. *An implementation of a simple duplicate address detection and autoconfiguration mechanism for OLSR*, in "2nd OLSR interop and workshop, Ecole Polytechnique, France", July 2005.
- [41] S. BOUDJIT, A. LAOUITI, P. MÜHLETHALER, C. ADJIH. *Duplicate address detection and autoconfiguration in OLSR*, in "ACIS-IEEE int. workshop on Self-Assembling Wireless Networks, SAWN'05, Towson, Maryland", May 2005.

-
- [42] S. BOUDJIT, A. LAOUITI, P. MÜHLETHALER, C. ADJIH. *Duplicate address detection with multiple conflicts and autoconfiguration in OLSR*, in "ICSIT int. workshop, Alger, Algeria", July 2005.
- [43] L. BOUKHALFA, P. MINET, S. MIDONNET, L. GEORGE. *Comparative evaluation of CBQ and PriQueue in a MANET*, in "2nd IEEE international conference on Mobile Ad hoc and Sensor Systems, MASS'05, Washington, DC", November 2005.
- [44] L. BOURAOUI, A. DE LA FORTELLE, A. LAOUITI. *OLSR improvement for distributed traffic applications*, in "Fourth Annual Mediterranean Ad Hoc Networking Workshop, MedHocNet'2005, Porquerolles, France", June 2005.
- [45] L. BOURAOUI, P. JACQUET, A. LAOUITI, L. VIENNOT. *Ad hoc communications between intelligent vehicles*, in "ITST (Intelligent Transport System Telecommunication), Brest, France", June 2005.
- [46] S.-Y. CHO, C. ADJIH. *Optimized Multicast Based on Multipoint Relaying*, in "First International Conference on Wireless Internet (WICON'05), Hungary", July 2005.
- [47] L. GEORGE, P. MINET, S. MARTIN. *A family of multicast protocols preserving consistency constraints*, in "Cohérence des Données en Univers réparti, CDUR05, Paris", November 2005.
- [48] A. HABBANI, O. ROMAIN, A. LAOUITI, J. E. ABBADI, P. GARDA. *Sensor networks Acquisition system and information routing*, in "2nd OLSR interop and workshop, Ecole Polytechnique, France", July 2005.
- [49] P. JACQUET. *Information in extremely mobile networks*, in "PanHellenic conference 2005, Volos", 2005.
- [50] P. JACQUET, A. NAIMI, G. RODOLAKIS. *Performance of binary exponential backoff CSMA in WiFi and optimal routing in mobile ad hoc networks*, in "AOFA 2005, Barcelona, Spain", June 2005, <http://www.dmtcs.org/proceedings/abstracts/dmAD0134.abs.html>.
- [51] P. JACQUET, A. NAIMI, G. RODOLAKIS. *Routing on Asymptotic Delays in IEEE 802.11 Wireless Ad Hoc Networks*, in "RAWNET 2005, Riva del Garda, Italy", April 2005.
- [52] P. JACQUET, G. RODOLAKIS. *Analytical Evaluation of Autocorrelations in TCP Traffic*, in "AINTEC 2005, Bangkok", December 2005.
- [53] P. JACQUET, G. RODOLAKIS. *Multicast scaling properties in massively dense ad hoc networks*, in "SANSO 2005, Fukuoka, Japan", July 2005.
- [54] S. MARTIN, P. MINET, L. GEORGE. *Deterministic QoS guarantees for multicast multimedia applications*, in "International Conference on Autonomic and Autonomous Systems, International Conference on Networking and Services, Joint ICAS'05 and ICNS'05, Papeete, Tahiti", October 2005.
- [55] S. MARTIN, P. MINET, L. GEORGE. *Improving non-preemptive Fixed Priority scheduling with Dynamic Priority as secondary criterion*, in "13th International Conference on Real-Time Systems, RTS'05, Paris, France", April 2005.

- [56] S. MARTIN, P. MINET. *Holistic and trajectory approaches for distributed non-preemptive FP/DP* scheduling*, in "4th IEEE Int. Conference on Networking, ICN'05, Reunion Island, France", P. LORENZ, P. DINI (editors), also in Lecture Notes in Computer Science, LNCS 3420/2005, Springer-Verlag, April 2005.
- [57] A. MERCIER, P. MINET, L. GEORGE. *Average response times in a Bluetooth piconet for 1-RR/FIFO, Enhanced 1-RR and CB-EDF*, in "ACIS Int. conference on Software Engineering Research, Management & Applications, SERA'05, Mt Pleasant, Michigan", August 2005.
- [58] P. MINET, L. SAIDANE, S. MARTIN. *Coexistence of deterministic and probabilistic QoS guarantees for real-time traffic*, in "Int. Symposium on Performance Evaluation of Computer and Telecommunication Systems, SPECTS'05, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania", July 2005.
- [59] D. NGUYEN, P. MINET. *Evaluation de la bande passante nécessaire au niveau MAC 802.11b*, in "Colloque Francophone sur l'Ingénierie des Protocoles, CFIP'05, Bordeaux, France", April 2005.
- [60] D. NGUYEN, P. MINET. *Interference-aware QoS OLSR for mobile ad-hoc network routing*, in "ACIS-IEEE int. workshop on Self-Assembling Wireless Networks, SAWN'05, Towson, Maryland", May 2005.
- [61] D. NGUYEN, P. MINET. *Optimized flooding and interference-aware QoS routing in OLSR*, in "Fourth Annual Mediterranean Ad Hoc Networking Workshop, MedHocNet'2005, Porquerolles, France", June 2005.
- [62] D. RAFFO, C. ADJIH, T. CLAUSEN, P. MÜHLETHALER. *Securing OLSR Using Node Locations*, in "Proceedings of 2005 European Wireless (EW 2005), Nicosia, Cyprus", April 10–13 2005, p. 437–443.
- [63] G. RODOLAKIS, S. SIACHALOU, L. GEORGIADIS. *Replicated server placement with QoS constraints*, in "QoS IP, Catania, Italy", February 2005.
- [64] L. SAIDANE, P. MINET, S. MARTIN, I. KORBI. *Deterministic and probabilistic QoS guarantees for real-time traffics in a DiffServ/MPLS architecture*, in "13th Annual Meeting of the IEEE International Symposium on Modeling, Analysis, and Simulation of Computer and Telecommunication Systems, MASCOTS'05, Atlanta, Georgia", September 2005.

Internal Reports

- [65] C. ADJIH, E. BACCELLI, T. CLAUSEN, P. JACQUET. *On the robustness and stability of Connected Dominating Sets*, Technical report, n° 5609, INRIA, June 2005, <http://www.inria.fr/rrrt/rr-5609.html>.
- [66] C. ADJIH, S. BOUDJIT, P. JACQUET, A. LAOUITI, P. MÜHLETHALER. *An Advanced Configuration and Duplicate Address Detection mechanism for a multi-interface OLSR Network*, Technical report, n° 5747, INRIA, November 2005, <http://www.inria.fr/rrrt/rr-5747.html>.
- [67] C. ADJIH, S. BOUDJIT, P. JACQUET, A. LAOUITI, P. MÜHLETHALER, K. MASE. *Address autoconfiguration in Optimized Link State Routing Protocol*, Technical report, work in progress, IETF, July 2005.
- [68] C. ADJIH, K. MASE. *Conflict Detection in MANET Autoconf*, Technical report, work in progress, IETF, October 2005.

-
- [69] G. ALLARD, P. JACQUET, B. MANS. *Routing in extremely mobile networks*, Technical report, n° 5539, INRIA, March 2005, <http://www.inria.fr/rrrt/rr-5539.html>.
- [70] E. BACCELLI, T. CLAUSEN, P. JACQUET. *Partial Topology in an MPR-based Solution for Wireless OSPF on Mobile Ad Hoc Networks*, Technical report, n° 5619, INRIA, July 2005, <http://www.inria.fr/rrrt/rr-5619.html>.
- [71] S. BOUDJIT, A. LAOUITI, P. MÜHLETHALER, C. ADJIH. *Duplicate address detection and autoconfiguration in OLSR*, Technical report, n° 5475, INRIA, January 2005, <http://www.inria.fr/rrrt/rr-5475.html>.
- [72] T. CLAUSEN, A. LAOUITI, P. MÜHLETHALER, D. RAFFO, C. ADJIH. *Securing the OLSR routing protocol with or without compromised nodes in the network*, Technical report, n° 5494, INRIA, February 2005, <http://www.inria.fr/rrrt/rr-5494.html>.
- [73] P. JACQUET. *On the robustness and stability of Connected Dominating Sets in mobile ad hoc networks Analysis of an hybrid scheme*, Technical report, n° 5684, INRIA, September 2005, <http://www.inria.fr/rrrt/rr-5684.html>.
- [74] P. JACQUET, P. MITTAL. *The Performance Accident in wireless multi-hop networks*, Technical report, n° 5746, INRIA, November 2005, <http://www.inria.fr/rrrt/rr-5746.html>.
- [75] K. MASE, C. ADJIH. *A common framework for autoconfiguration of stand-alone ad hoc networks*, Technical report, work in progress, IETF, October 2005.
- [76] K. MASE, C. ADJIH. *No Overhead Autoconfiguration OLSR*, Technical report, work in progress, IETF, May 2005.
- [77] D. NGUYEN, P. MINET. *Evaluation of the bandwidth needed at the MAC 802.11b level*, Technical report, n° 5553, INRIA, April 2005, <http://www.inria.fr/rrrt/rr-5553.html>.