



INSTITUT NATIONAL DE RECHERCHE EN INFORMATIQUE ET EN AUTOMATIQUE

*Project-Team Metalau*

*Méthodes, algorithmes et logiciels pour  
l'automatique*

*Rocquencourt*

THEME NUM

*Activity*  
*R* *eport*

2006



## Table of contents

<b>1. Team</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Overall Objectives</b> .....	<b>1</b>
2.1. Overall Objectives	1
2.1.1. Research fields	2
2.1.2. Objectives	2
<b>3. Scientific Foundations</b> .....	<b>2</b>
3.1. Classical system theory	2
3.1.1. Systems, Control and Signal Processing	2
3.1.2. Implicit Systems	3
3.2. Failure detection in dynamical systems	4
3.2.1. Active failure detection	4
3.2.2. Passive failure detection	5
3.2.2.1. Modal analysis and diagnosis	5
3.2.2.2. Robust failure detection and control	6
3.3. Exotic systems	6
3.3.1. Hybrid dynamical systems	6
3.3.2. Maxplus Algebra, Discrete Event Systems and Dynamic Programming	7
<b>4. Application Domains</b> .....	<b>9</b>
4.1. Transport	9
4.1.1. Microscopic Traffic Modeling	9
4.1.2. Traffic Assignment	9
4.2. Modal analysis and diagnosis	10
<b>5. Software</b> .....	<b>10</b>
5.1. Scicos	10
5.2. Scilab toolboxes and functions	11
5.3. Prototype software	11
<b>6. New Results</b> .....	<b>11</b>
6.1. Computer aided control system design	11
6.1.1. Scicos	11
6.1.2. Communication systems	12
6.1.3. Scilab	12
6.2. Control and signal processing	12
6.2.1. Active failure detection	12
6.2.2. Robust failure detection	12
6.2.3. Modal analysis and diagnosis	12
6.3. Application of Maxplus algebra to traffic modeling and control	13
6.4. Current Profile Control of Tokamak	13
6.5. Stochastic Plant Modeling	13
<b>7. Contracts and Grants with Industry</b> .....	<b>13</b>
7.1. Grants with Industry	13
7.1.1. RNTL Project SIMPA2	13
7.2. European Research Contracts	14
7.2.1. European project GeneAuto	14
7.2.2. European project EuroSysLib	14
<b>8. Other Grants and Activities</b> .....	<b>14</b>
8.1. International Actions	14
8.2. Cooperations	14
<b>9. Dissemination</b> .....	<b>14</b>
9.1. Short Courses and Exhibitions	14

9.2. Conference and workshop participations, invited conferences	15
9.3. Teaching	15
9.4. Ph.D. Thesis supervision	16
9.5. Thesis committee	16
9.6. Intern supervision	16
<b>10. Bibliography</b> .....	<b>16</b>

# 1. Team

## Head of project-team

Maurice Goursat [ DR ]

## Vice-head of project team

François Delebecque [ DR ]

## Administrative assistant

Martine Verneuille [ AI ]

## Staff member Inria

Ramine Nikoukhah [ DR ]

Jean-Pierre Quadrat [ DR, 80 % ]

Serge Steer [ DR, 50 % ]

## Scientific advisor

Guy Cohen [ École Nationale des Ponts et Chaussées ]

## Research scientist (partner)

Jean-Philippe Chancelier [ École Nationale des Ponts et Chaussées ]

Pablo Lotito [ University of Rosario, Argentina ]

E. Mancinelli [ National University of San Luis, Argentina ]

Edmundo Rofman [ IAM-CONICET, Argentina ]

## Ph. D. student

Alireza Esna Ashari [ Paris XII ]

Nadir Farhi [ Paris I ]

## Post-doctoral Fellow

Alan Layec

## Student intern

Roger Barrau [ ENSTA ]

Benoit Bayol [ PULV ]

Roland Gofettre [ ENSTA ]

Fady Nassif [ Paris 6 ]

## Technical staff

Simone Mannori [ IE ]

# 2. Overall Objectives

## 2.1. Overall Objectives

**Keywords:** *DAE, DEDS, Scicos, Scilab, active failure detection, diagnosis, discrete event systems, dynamical systems modeling and simulation, hybrid systems, implicit systems, linear system theory and control, max-plus linear systems, modeling and simulation software, passive failure detection, plant growth modeling and simulation, robust control, robust estimation, robust filtering, scientific software, stochastic control, transportation systems.*

### 2.1.1. Research fields

The project-team is particularly active in the following areas:

- classical theory of dynamical systems
- optimal deterministic, stochastic and robust control
- failure detection in dynamical systems (both passive and active)
- network control and monitoring for transportation systems
- hybrid systems, in particular the development of Scicos
- maxplus linear systems: applications to transportation systems
- numerical matrix algebra and implementation in Scilab

### 2.1.2. Objectives

The objectives of the project-team are the design, analysis and development of new methods and algorithms for detection, identification, simulation and control of dynamical systems and their software implementations.

These methods and algorithms are usually implemented in Scilab which is an open-source scientific software package originally developed in the project-team. This task has been facilitated thanks to the creation of the new development project-team SCILAB which has taken over the engineering tasks such as maintenance, porting, testing, etc.

The project-team is actively involved in the development of control, signal processing, optimization and simulation tools in Scilab, in particular Scicos, a modeler and simulator for dynamical systems which is based on research on hybrid systems. Encouraged by the interest in Scicos, expressed both by the academia and industry, developing a robust user-friendly Scicos has become an important objective of the project-team. A lot of effort is put on the development of Scicos within the project-team.

As theory and applications enrich mutually, many of the objectives of the project-team can be seen through the applications:

- modeling and simulation of physical systems (mechanical, electrical, fluids, thermodynamics,...) based on the theory of implicit systems
- modeling, simulation and code generation of control systems based on the theory of hybrid systems
- modeling, analysis and control of transportation systems using the maxplus algebra
- modeling of the growth of plants as linear systems of varying state size and with delay in agronomy
- using robust control theory, and finite element models for identification purposes in the framework of failure detection and default localization for space systems, civil structures and other dynamical systems.

## 3. Scientific Foundations

### 3.1. Classical system theory

#### 3.1.1. Systems, Control and Signal Processing

Systems, control and signal processing constitute the main foundations of the research work of the project-team. We have been particularly interested in numerical and algorithmic aspects. This research which has been the driving force behind the creation of Scilab has nourished this software over the years thanks to which, today, Scilab contains most of the modern tools in control and signal processing. Scilab has been a vehicle by which theoretical results of the project-team concerning areas such as classical, modern and robust control, signal processing and optimization, have been made available to industry and academia.

Ties between this fundamental research and Scilab are very strong. Indeed, even the design of the software itself, elementary functions and data structures are heavily influenced by the results of this research. For example, even elementary operations such as basic manipulation of polynomial fractions have been implemented using a generalization of the the state-space theory developed as part of our research on implicit systems. These ties are of course normal since Scilab has been primarily developed for applications in automatics.

Scilab has created for our research team new contacts with engineers in industry and other research groups. Being used in real applications, it has provided a guide for choosing new research directions. For example, robust control tools in Scilab have been developed in cooperation with industrial users. Similarly, Scilab's LMI toolbox has been developed with the help of other research groups. It should also be noted that most of the basic systems and control functions in Scilab are based on algorithms developed in the European research project Slicot in which METALAU has taken part.

### 3.1.2. Implicit Systems

Implicit systems are a natural framework for modeling physical phenomena. We work on theoretical and practical problems associated with such systems in particular in applications such as failure detection and dynamical system modeling and simulation.

Constructing complex models of dynamical systems by interconnecting elementary components leads very often to implicit systems. An implicit dynamical system is one where the equations representing the behavior of the system are of the algebraic-differential type. If  $\xi$  represent the "state" of the system, an implicit system is often described as follows:

$$F(\dot{\xi}, \xi, z, t) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $\dot{\xi}$  is the time derivative of  $\xi$ ,  $t$  is the time and the vector  $z$  contains the external variables (inputs and outputs) of the system. Indeed it is an important property of implicit systems that outside variables interacting with the system need not be characterized a priori as inputs or outputs, as it is the case with explicit dynamical systems. For example if we model a capacitor in an electrical circuit as a dynamical system, it would not be possible to label a-priori the external variables, in this case the currents and voltages associated with the capacitor, as inputs and outputs. The physical laws governing the capacitor simply impose dynamical constraints on these variables. Depending on the configuration of the circuit, it is sometimes possible to specify some external variables as inputs and the rest as outputs (and thus make the system explicit) however in doing so system structure and modularity is often lost. That is why, usually, even if an implicit system can be converted into an explicit system, it is more advantages to keep the implicit model.

It turns out that many of the methods developed for the analysis and synthesis of control systems modeled as explicit systems can be extended to implicit systems. In fact, in many cases, these methods are more naturally derived in this more general setting and allows for a deeper understanding of the existing theory. In the past few years, we have studied a number of systems and control problems in the implicit framework.

For example in the linear discrete time case, we have revisited classical problems such as observer design, Kalman filtering, residual generation to extend them to the implicit case or have used techniques from implicit system theory to derive more direct and efficient design methods. Another area where implicit system theory has been used is failure detection. In particular in the mutli-model approach where implicit systems arise naturally from combining multiple explicit models.

We have also done work on nonlinear implicit systems. For example nonlinear implicit system theory has been used to develop a predictive control system and a novel nonlinear observer design methodology. Research on nonlinear implicit systems continues in particular because of the development of the "implicit" version of Scicos.

## 3.2. Failure detection in dynamical systems

### 3.2.1. Active failure detection

Failure detection has been the subject of many studies in the past. Most of these works are concerned with the problem of *passive failure detection*. In the passive approach, for material or security reasons, the detector has no way of acting upon the system; the detector can only monitor the inputs and the outputs of the system and then decides whether, and if possible what kind of, a failure has occurred. This is done by comparing the measured input-output behavior of the system with the “normal” behavior of the system. The passive approach is often used to continuously monitor the system although it can also be used to make periodic checks.

In some situations however failures can be masked by the operation of the system. This often happens in controlled systems. The reason for this is that the purpose of controllers, in general, is to keep the system at some equilibrium point even if the behavior of the system changes. This robustness property, desired in control systems, tends to mask abnormal behaviors of the systems. This makes the task of failure detection difficult. An example of this effect is the well known fact that it is harder for a driver to detect an under-inflated or flat front tire in a car which is equipped with power steering. This tradeoff between detection performance and controller robustness has been noted in the literature and has led to the study of the integrated design of controller and detector.

But the problem of failures being masked by system operation is not limited to controlled systems. Some failures may simply remain hidden under certain operating conditions and show up only under special circumstances. For example, a failure in the brake system of a truck is very difficult to detect as long as the truck is cruising down the road on level ground. It is for this reason that on many roads, just before steep downhill stretches, there are signs asking truck drivers to test their brakes. A driver who ignores these signs would find out about a brake failure only when he needs to brake going down hill, i.e., too late.

An alternative to passive detection which could avoid the problem of failures being masked by system operation is *active detection*. The active approach to failure detection consists in acting upon the system on a periodic basis or at critical times using a test signal in order to detect abnormal behaviors which would otherwise remain undetected during normal operation. The detector in an active approach can act either by taking over the usual inputs of the system or through a special input channel. An example of using the existing input channels is testing the brakes by stepping on the brake pedal.

The active detection problem has been less studied than the passive detection problem. The idea of injecting a signal into the system for identification purposes has been widely used. But the use of extra input signals in the context of failure detection has only been recently introduced.

The specificity of our approach for solving the problem of auxiliary signal design is that we have adopted a deterministic point of view in which we model uncertainty using newly developed techniques from  $H_\infty$  control theory. In doing so, we can deal efficiently with the robustness issue which is in general not properly dealt with in stochastic approaches to this problem. This has allowed us in particular to introduce the notion of *guaranteed failure detection*.

In the active failure detection method considered an auxiliary signal  $v$  is injected into the system to facilitate detection; it can be part or all of the system inputs. The signal  $u$  denotes the remaining inputs measured online just as the outputs  $y$  are measured online. In some applications the time trajectory of  $u$  may be known in advance but in general the information regarding  $u$  is obtained through sensor data in the same way that it is done for the output  $y$ .

Suppose we have only one possible type of failure. Then we have two sets of input-output behaviors to consider and hence two models. The set  $\mathcal{A}_0(v)$  is the set of normal input-outputs  $\{u, y\}$  from Model 0 and the set  $\mathcal{A}_1(v)$  is the set of input-outputs when failure occurs. That is,  $\mathcal{A}_1(v)$  is from Model 1. These sets represent possible/likely input-output trajectories for each model. Note that Model 0 and Model 1 can differ greatly in size and complexity but they have in common  $u$  and  $y$ .

The problem of auxiliary signal design for guaranteed failure detection is to find a “reasonable”  $v$  such that



$$\mathcal{A}_0(v) \cap \mathcal{A}_1(v) = \emptyset.$$

That is, any observed pair  $\{u, y\}$  must come only from one of the two models. Here reasonable  $v$  means a  $v$  that does not perturb the normal operation of the system too much during the test period. This means, in general, a  $v$  of small energy applied over a short test period. However, depending on the application, "reasonable" can imply more complicated criteria.

Depending on how uncertainties are accounted for in the models, the mathematics needed to solve the problem can be very different. For example guaranteed failure detection has been first introduced in the case where unknown bounded parameters were used to model uncertainties. This led to solution techniques based on linear programming algorithms. But in most of our works, we consider the types of uncertainties used in robust control theory. This has allowed us to develop a methodology based on established tools such as Riccati equations that allow us to handle very large multivariable systems. The methodology we develop for the construction of the optimal auxiliary signal and its associated test can be implemented easily in computational environments such as Scilab. Moreover, the online detection test that we obtain is similar to some existing tests based on Kalman filters and is easy to implement in real-time. The main results of our research can be found in a book published in 2004. We have developed many extensions since, which have been published in various journals and presented at conferences.

### 3.2.2. Passive failure detection

#### 3.2.2.1. Modal analysis and diagnosis

We consider mechanical systems with the corresponding stochastic state-space models of automatic control.

The mechanical system is assumed to be a time-invariant linear dynamical system:

$$\begin{cases} M\ddot{Z}(t) + C\dot{Z}(t) + KZ(t) &= \nu(t) \\ Y(t) &= LZ(t) \end{cases}$$

where the variables are:  $Z$ : displacements of the degrees of freedom,  $M$ ,  $C$ ,  $K$ : mass, damping, stiffness matrices,  $t$ : continuous time;  $\nu$ : vector of external (non measured) forces modeled as a non-stationary white noise;  $L$ : observation matrix giving the observation  $Y$  (corresponding to the locations of the sensors on the structure). The modal characteristics are:  $\mu$  the vibration modes or eigen-frequencies and  $\psi_\mu$  the modal shapes or eigenvectors. They satisfy:

$$(M\mu^2 + C\mu + K)\Psi_\mu = 0, \quad \psi_\mu = L\Psi_\mu$$

By stacking  $Z$  and  $\dot{Z}$  and sampling at rate  $1/\delta$ , i.e.,

$$X_k = \begin{bmatrix} Z(k\delta) \\ \dot{Z}(k\delta) \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y_k = Y(k\delta)$$

we get the following equivalent state-space model:

$$\begin{cases} X_{k+1} &= FX_k + V_k \\ Y_k &= HX_k \end{cases}$$

with

$$F = \exp(A\delta), \quad H = [L \quad 0] \quad \text{and} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -M^{-1}K & -M^{-1}C \end{bmatrix}$$

The mechanical systems under consideration are vibrating structures and the numerical simulation is done by the finite element model.

The objectives are the analysis and the implementation of statistical model-based algorithms, for modal identification, monitoring and (modal and physical) diagnosis of such structures.

For modal analysis and monitoring, the approach is based on subspace methods using the covariances of the observations: that means that all the algorithms are designed for in-operation situation, i.e., without any measurement or control on the input (the situation where both input and output are measured is a simple special case).

The identification procedure is realized on the healthy structure.

The second part of the work is to determine, given new data after an operating period with the structure, if some changes have occurred on the modal characteristics.

In case there are changes, we want to find the most likely localization of the defaults on the structure. For this purpose we have to do the matching of the identified modal characteristics of the healthy structure with those of the finite element model. By use of the different Jacobian matrices and clustering algorithms we try to get clusters on the elements with the corresponding value of the "default criterion".

This work is done in collaboration with the INRIA-IRISA project-team SISTHEM (a spin-off of the project-team SIGMA2) (see the web-site of this project-team for a complete presentation and bibliography) and with the project-team MACS for the physical diagnosis (on civil structures).

#### 3.2.2.2. Robust failure detection and control

Failure detection problems are formulated in such a way that mathematical techniques in robust control can be used to formulate and solve the problem of robust detection. Concepts developed for  $H_\infty$  control can be used in particular to formulate the notion of robustness and provide numerically tractable solutions.

This system approach can also be used to formulate both the detection and the control in a single framework. The Simultaneous Fault Detection and Control problem is formulated as a mixed  $\mathcal{H}_2/\mathcal{H}_\infty$  optimization problem and its solution is given in terms of Riccati equations. It is shown that controllers/detectors resulting from this approach have reasonable complexity and can be used for practical applications.

### 3.3. Exotic systems

#### 3.3.1. Hybrid dynamical systems

Originally motivated by problems encountered in modeling and simulation of failure detection systems, the objective of this research is the development of a solid formalism for efficient modeling of hybrid dynamical systems.

A hybrid dynamical system is obtained by the interconnection of continuous time, discrete time and event driven models. Such systems are common in most control system design problems where a continuous time model of the plant is hooked up to a discrete time digital controller.

The formalism we develop here tries to extend methodologies from Synchronous languages to the hybrid context. Motivated by the work on the extension of Signal language to continuous time, we develop a formalism in which through a generalization of the notion of event to what we call *activation signal*, continuous time activations and event triggered activations can co-exist and interact harmoniously. This means in particular that standard operations on events such as subsampling and conditioning are also extended and operate on activation signals in general paving the way for a uniform theory.

The theoretical formalism developed here is the backbone of the modeling and simulation software Scicos. Scicos is the place where the theory is implemented, tested and validated. But Scicos has become more than just an experimental tool for testing the theory. Scicos has been successfully used in a number of industrial projects and has shown to be a valuable tool for modeling and simulation of dynamical systems.

Encouraged by the interest in Scicos, expressed both by the academia and industry, beyond the theoretical studies necessary to ensure that the bases of the tool are solid, the project-team has started to invest considerable effort on improving its usability for real world applications. Developing a robust user-friendly Scicos has become one of the objectives of the project-team.

### 3.3.2. Maxplus Algebra, Discrete Event Systems and Dynamic Programming

In the modeling of human activities, in contrast to natural phenomena, quite frequently only the operations max (respectively min) and + are needed (this is the case in particular of some queuing or storage systems, synchronized processes encountered in manufacturing, traffic systems, when optimizing deterministic dynamic processes, etc.).

The set of real numbers endowed with the operation max (respectively min) denoted  $\oplus$  and the operation + denoted  $\otimes$  is a nice mathematical structure that we may call an idempotent semi-field. The operation  $\oplus$  is idempotent and has the neutral element  $\varepsilon = -\infty$  but it is not invertible. The operation  $\otimes$  has its usual properties and is distributive with respect to  $\oplus$ . Based on this set of scalars we can build the counterpart of a module and write the general  $(n, n)$  system of linear maxplus equations:

$$Ax \oplus b = Cx \oplus d,$$

using matrix notation where we have made the natural substitution of  $\oplus$  for + and of  $\otimes$  for  $\times$  in the definition of the matrix product.

A complete theory of such linear system is still not completely achieved. In recent development we try to have a better understanding of image and kernel of maxplus matrices.

System theory is concerned with the input ( $u$ )-output ( $y$ ) relation of a dynamical system ( $S$ ) denoted  $y = S(u)$  and by the improvement of this input-output relation (based on some engineering criterium) by altering the system through a feedback control law  $u = F(y, v)$ . Then the new input ( $v$ )-output ( $y$ ) relation is defined implicitly by  $y = S(F(y, v))$ . Not surprisingly, system theory is well developed in the particular case of linear shift-invariant systems. Similarly, a min-plus version of this theory can also be developed.

In the case of SISO (single-input-single-output) systems,  $u$  and  $y$  are functions of time. In the particular case of a shift-invariant linear system,  $S$  becomes an inf-convolution:

$$y = h \square u \stackrel{def}{=} \inf_s [h(s) + u(\cdot - s)]$$

where  $h$  is a function of time called the impulse response of system  $S$ . Therefore such a system is completely defined by its impulse response. Elementary systems are combined by arranging them in parallel, series and feedback. These three engineering operations correspond to adding systems pointwise ( $\oplus$ ), making inf-convolutions ( $\otimes$ ) and solving special linear equations ( $y = h \otimes (f_1 \otimes y \oplus f_2 \otimes v)$ ) over the set of impulse responses. Mathematically we have to study the algebra of functions endowed with the two operations  $\oplus$  and  $\otimes$  and to solve special classes of linear equations in this set, namely when  $A = E$  in the notation of the first part.

An important class of shift-invariant min-plus linear systems is the process of counting events versus time in timed event graphs (a subclass of Petri nets frequently used to represent manufacturing systems). A dual theory based on the maxplus algebra allows the timing of events identified by their numbering.

The Fourier and Laplace transforms are important tools in automatic control and signal processing because the exponentials diagonalize simultaneously all the convolution operators. The convolutions are converted into multiplications by the Fourier transform. The Fenchel transform ( $\mathcal{F}$ ) defined by:

$$[\mathcal{F}(f)](p) = \sup_x [px - f(x)],$$

plays the same role in the min-plus algebra context. The affine functions diagonalize the inf-convolution operators and we have:

$$\mathcal{F}(f \square g) = \mathcal{F}(f) + \mathcal{F}(g).$$

A general inf-convolution is an operation too complicated to be used in practice since it involves an infinite number of operations. We have to restrict ourselves to convolutions that can be computed with finite memory. We would like that there exists a finite state  $x$  representing the memory necessary to compute the convolution recursively. In the discrete-time case, given some  $h$ , we have to find  $(C, A, B)$  such that  $h_n = CA^n B$ , and  $y = h \square u$  is then ‘realized’ as

$$x_{n+1} = Ax_n \oplus Bu_n, \quad y_n = Cx_n.$$

SISO systems (with increasing  $h$ ) which are realizable in the min-plus algebra are characterized by the existence of some  $\lambda$  and  $c$  such that for  $n$  large enough:

$$h_{n+c} = c \times \lambda + h_n.$$

If  $h$  satisfies this property, it is easy to find a 3-tuple  $(A, B, C)$ .

This beautiful theory is difficult to be applied because the class of linear systems is not large enough for realistic applications. Generalization to nonlinear maxplus system able to model general Petri nets is under development.

Dynamic Programming in the discrete state and time case amounts to finding the shortest path in a graph. If we denote generically by  $n$  the number of arcs of paths, the dynamic programming equation can be written linearly in the min-plus algebra:

$$X_n = A \otimes X_{n-1},$$

where the entries of  $A$  are the lengths of the arcs of the graph and  $X_n$  denotes the matrix of the shortest lengths of paths with  $n$  arcs joining any pair of nodes. We can consider normalized matrices defined by the fact that the infimum in each row is equal to 0. Such kind of matrices can be viewed as the min-plus counterpart of transition matrices of a Markov chain.

The problem

$$v_x^n = \min_u \left[ \sum_{i=n}^{N-1} \varphi(u_i) + \psi(x_N) \mid x_n = x \right], \quad x_{i+1} = x_i - u_i$$

may be called dynamic programming with independent instantaneous costs ( $\varphi$  depends only on  $u$  and not on  $x$ ). Clearly  $v$  satisfies the linear min-plus equation:

$$v^n = \varphi \square v^{n+1}, \quad v^N = \psi$$

(the Hamilton-Jacobi equation is a continuous version of this problem).

The Cramer transform ( $\mathcal{C} \stackrel{def}{=} \mathcal{F} \circ \log \circ \mathcal{L}$ ), where  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes the Laplace transform, maps probability measures to convex functions and transform convolutions into inf-convolutions:

$$\mathcal{C}(f * g) = \mathcal{C}(f) \square \mathcal{C}(g).$$

Therefore it converts the problem of adding independent random variables into a dynamic programming problem with independent costs.

These remarks suggest the existence of a formalism analogous to probability calculus adapted to optimization that we have developed.

The theoretical research in this domain is currently done in the MAXPLUS project-team. In the METALAU project-team we are more concerned with applications to traffic systems of this theory.

## 4. Application Domains

### 4.1. Transport

Traffic modeling is a domain where maxplus algebra appears naturally : – at microscopic level where we follow the vehicles in a network of streets, – at macroscopic level where assignment are based on computing smallest length paths in a graph, – in the algebraic duality between stochastic and deterministic assignments.

We develop free computing tools and models of traffic implementing our experience on optimization and discrete event system modeling based on maxplus algebra.

#### 4.1.1. Microscopic Traffic Modeling

Let us consider a circular road with places occupied or not by a car symbolized by a 1. The dynamic is defined by the rule  $10 \rightarrow 01$  that we apply simultaneously to all the parts of the word  $m$  representing the system. For example, starting with  $m_1 = 1010100101$  we obtain the sequence of works ( $m_i$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= 1010100101, \\ m_2 &= 0101010011, \\ m_3 &= 1010101010, \\ m_4 &= 0101010101, \\ m_5 &= 1010101010, \\ &\text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

For such a system we can call density  $d$  the number of cars divided by the number of places called  $p$  that is  $d = n/p$ . We call flow  $f(t)$  at time  $t$  the number of cars at this time period divided by the place number. The fundamental traffic law gives the relation between  $f(t)$  and  $d$ .

If the density is smaller than  $1/2$ , after a transient period of time all the cars are separated and can go without interaction with the other cars. Then  $f(t) = n/p$  that can be written as function of the density as  $f(t) = d$

On the other hand if the density is larger than  $1/2$ , all the free places are separated after a finite amount of time and go backward freely. Then we have  $p - n$  car which can go forward. Then the relation between flow and density becomes

$$f(t) = (p - n)/p = 1 - d .$$

This can be stated formally: it exists a time  $T$  such that for all  $t \geq T$ ,  $f(t)$  stays equal to a constant that we call  $f$  with

$$f = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } d \leq 1/2, \\ 1 - d & \text{if } d \geq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

The fundamental traffic law linking the density of vehicles and the flow of vehicles can be also derived easily from maxplus modeling : – in the deterministic case by computing the eigenvalue of a maxplus matrix, – in the stochastic case by computing a Lyapounov exponent of stochastic maxplus matrices.

The main research consists in developing extensions to systems of roads with crossings. In this case, we leave maxplus linear modeling and have to study more general dynamical systems. Nevertheless these systems can still be defined in matrix form using standard and maxplus linear algebra simultaneously.

With this point of view efficient microscopic traffic simulator can be developed in Scilab.

#### 4.1.2. Traffic Assignment

Given a transportation network  $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{A})$  and a set  $\mathcal{D}$  of transportation demands from an origin  $o \in \mathcal{N}$  to a destination  $d \in \mathcal{N}$ , the *traffic assignment* problem consists in determining the flows  $f_a$  on the arcs  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  of the network when the times  $t_a$  spent on the arcs  $a$  are given functions of the flows  $f_a$ .

We can distinguish the deterministic case — when all the travel times are known by the users — from the stochastic cases — when the users perceive travel times different from the actual ones.

1. When the travel times are deterministic and do not depend on the link flows, the assignment can be reduced to compute the routes with shortest travel times for each origin-destination pair.
2. When the travel times are deterministic and depend on the link flows, Wardrop equilibriums are defined and computed by iterative methods based on the previous case.
3. When the perceived travel times do not depend on the link flows but are stochastic with error distribution — between the perceived time and the actual time — satisfying a Gumbel distribution, the probability that a user choose a particular route can be computed explicitly. This probability has a Gibbs distribution called logit in transportation literature. From this distribution the arc flows — supposed to be deterministic — can be computed using a matrix calculus which can be seen as the counterpart of the shortest path computation (of the case 1) up to the substitution of the minplus semiring by the Gibbs-Maslov semiring, where we call Gibbs-Maslov semiring the set of real numbers endowed with the following two operations :

$$x \oplus^{\mu} y = -\frac{1}{\mu} \log(e^{-\mu x} + e^{-\mu y}), \quad x \otimes y = x + y.$$

4. When the perceived travel times are stochastic and depend on the link flows — supposed to be deterministic quantities — stochastic equilibriums are defined and can be computed using iterative methods based on the logit assignments discussed in the case 3.

The purpose of this research is double :

1. To study an engineering example of quantization. By quantization we mean the application of a morphism changing a deterministic optimization problem into a linear system of equations for modeling improvement by analogy with the way we obtain the Quantum Mechanics Equation from the Hamilton-Jacobi Equation of a system. This quantization can be seen as an application of what we have called previously “the duality between probability and optimization” introduced in the section 4.1.2.
2. To develop a toolbox in Scilab dedicated to traffic assignment indeed it does not exist any free toolbox for this kind of application.

## 4.2. Modal analysis and diagnosis

We have used the techniques developed for modal analysis and diagnosis in many different applications: rotating machines, aircrafts, parts of cars, space launcher, civil structures. The most recent examples are:

- Eureka (FLITE) project: exploitation of flight test data under natural excitation conditions.
- Ariane 5 launcher: application to a ground experiment (contract with CNES and EADS Space Transportation)
- Steelquake: a European benchmark for a civil structure.

## 5. Software

### 5.1. Scicos

Scicos object oriented modeler, simulator and code generator included in Scilab ([www.scicos.org](http://www.scicos.org))

## 5.2. Scilab toolboxes and functions

- Scilab scientific software package (open source software available from [www.scilab.org](http://www.scilab.org))
- CiudadSim Scilab Traffic Assignment toolboxes
- COSMAD Output modal analysis and diagnosis
- Greenlab plant growth simulation
- MAXPLUS Maxplus arithmetic and linear systems toolbox by the Maxplus Working Group
- LMITOOL optimization for robust control applications (included in Scilab)
- FFTW interface for Scilab, [contribution to Scilab software](#)

## 5.3. Prototype software

- Implicit Scicos: new extension of Scicos for modeling more naturally physical systems based on Modelica language
- Digiplant extension of Greenlab with new modeling techniques

# 6. New Results

## 6.1. Computer aided control system design

### 6.1.1. Scicos

**Participants:** R. Nikoukhah, S. Steer, M. Najafi, A. Layec, S. Mannori, B. Bayol.

The development of Scicos continues. This work is financed mainly through the RNTL projects Simpa2, GeneAuto and Parade. A new more efficient compiler has been developed in 2004. This work was started by C. Bourcier, an intern supported through the Eclipse project in collaboration with the AOSTE project team. It was finished by A. Azzil as part of his Doctoral thesis.

The extension of Scicos to allow for “implicit blocks” in the framework of the RNTL Simpa project has been pursued. It is now possible to define implicit blocks in Scicos using (a subset) of Modelica language. This new extension is being tested using application examples from EDF. Some of these works have been reported in publications (Journal and Conference Proceedings).

Scilab code generator has been extended and can now accept continuous-time blocks. The stand-alone usage of the generated code however requires the implementation of a fixed-step size solver which is implemented for explicit systems. The implicit version is not yet available.

Major developments have been started in the project to upgrade Scicos editor and simulator for inclusion in the future Scilab 5 release. The main developments are the followings:

- Rewriting of the graphics in Scicos editor and block libraries (scope blocks in particular) to use new Scilab graphic functions,
- Rewriting the editor code to allow for multi-window editing,
- Extending Scicos to allow general data types as input and outputs to blocks (sofar only vectors of floats were accepted). In the future version of Scicos, blocks can exchange matrices, real and complex, of various types (real, int8, int16, etc.).

This work is mainly carried out by A. Layec. He is also working in using these new features for applications to communication systems. The interface to FFTW has been realized for this purpose.

Finally, we have developed a number of tools for using Scicos in hardware in the loop applications, both with and without code generation. A physical setup (ball and beam) has been constructed using LEGO elements and used for demonstration at the CACSD 2007 in Munich and elsewhere. These tools will be made available on [www.scicos.org](http://www.scicos.org). S. Mannori has been in charge of these developments;

### 6.1.2. *Communication systems*

**Participant:** A. Layec.

The preparation of the final draft of the A. Layec [66] has been carried out. Two publications based on this work has been presented [39], [40]. Currently work is under way to implement some results as a new Scicos Toolbox (an extension of MODNUM) using the new features which will be available in the future version of Scilab/Scicos.

### 6.1.3. *Scilab*

**Participants:** J. Ph. Chancelier, F. Delebecque, J.P. Quadrat.

The project provides support for ScilabGtk, in cooperation with ENPC. ScilabGtk is a gtk version of Scilab, based on the Scilab BUILD4 distribution. This version of Scilab includes, in addition to the Gtk2 Gui, the maxplus built-in toolbox. It can be downloaded from <http://cermics.enpc.fr/jpc/scilab/site/Scilab-Gtk>.

## 6.2. Control and signal processing

### 6.2.1. *Active failure detection*

**Participant:** R. Nikoukhah.

We develop a novel theory of robust active failure detection based on multi-model formulation of failures. The results of years of research have been published in a book in 2004.

We have continued also to work on the extension of our approach to more general situations. We started the study of active failure detection in continuous-time dynamical systems with sampled observations and obtained some interesting results last year. We have pursued this work and we obtained a complete and efficient solution which was published in the European Journal of Control.

We have also examined numerical aspects of the implementation of our technique. This work is done in close collaboration with a PhD student of S.L. Campbell at NCSU.

Other extension to our approach consisted of considering the situation where the in the active failure detection, we don't have control over all system inputs. We assume some a-priori information is available on remaining inputs and possibly the initial state of the system. The result of our work has appeared in Automatica.

Another extension of our work considers the problem of incipient failure detection. The multi-model approach is used to capture small variations in system parameters. The solution to this particular multi-model formulation of active detection problem turns out to simplify a great deal and lead to a simple detection technique.

### 6.2.2. *Robust failure detection*

**Participants:** R. Nikoukhah, A. Esna Ashari.

The work on robust failure detection continues in collaboration with J. Khosrowjerdi (Sahand University, Iran) and N. Safari-Shad (University of Wisconsin-Platteville, USA). Our recent results have been published in the IEEE Transaction On Automatic Control.

We have started working on the optimal sensor location problem for failure detection. Alireza Esna Ashari has joined the team as a Ph.D. student to work on the robust failure detection problem, in particular in the context of a controlled system.

### 6.2.3. *Modal analysis and diagnosis*

**Participant:** M. Goursat.



The new results concern mainly modifications of the identification procedure to improve the robustness and the quality of the results. The domain where theoretical results are still under consideration are for more general models with influence of exogeneous phenomema (noise, temperature, fluids...).

For the diagnosis the studies are focused on fast on-line detection (e.g. for flutter) and improvement of the physical diagnosis.

### 6.3. Application of Maxplus algebra to traffic modeling and control

**Participants:** N. Farhi, M. Goursat, J.P. Quadrat.

The thesis of N. Farhi is dedicated to maxplus modeling of traffic. The fundamental traffic law giving the relation between the average flow and the density of vehicles is studied in different cases. This year the case of two roads with two crossing first and the case of regular town has been investigated. Interesting qualitative result has been obtained empirically about the traffic phases that can appear showing that a system with many crossings is equivalent to a system with a unique crossing. The mathematical justification of the existence of an average flow is a difficult problem and has been justified only on the simplest case. oreover the influence of the light control on the fundamental diagram has been also studied numerically and understand qualitatively. This work has been submitted for the ECC 2007 [60].

To be able to compute the average flow for large system of roads and crossing a modular way of building timed free choice Petri net has been developed and implemented in Scilab.

### 6.4. Current Profile Control of Tokamak

**Participants:** F. Delebecque, J.P. Quadrat.

A collaboration with CEA/DSM/DRFC (Pilotage Group) at Cadarache has started on the control of the plasma profile for the ITER fusion project. After several meetings at Cadarache to study the possible collaboration between METALAU Project and the Pilotage group, a simplified transport plasma model given by the CEA has been implemented in Scilab-Scicos. The idea is to obtain a simulation model useful to design and validate the control strategies. Recently it has been observed that some particular profiles are more efficient to produce fusion reactions. The mathematical problem to be solved is the controllability of a system of nonlinear one dimensional partial differential equations. But for the time being, the physics of the transport equation of the current, temperature and density is not completely understood. The purpose of our first work submitted at [65] is to explain the plasma physics bases and show the quality of the CEA simplified model.

### 6.5. Stochastic Plant Modeling

**Participants:** M. Kang, Ph. de Reffye, J.P. Quadrat.

The work making the link between the stochastic modeling of plant used in Greenlab and branching processes started last year has been continued. In this work we show that we can adapt the theory of multi-type branching processes to the noncommutative indeterminate case to describe the distribution of GreenLab trees. Substituting the indeterminate representing internodes by the commutative series describing their organ production we obtain a commutative series describing the distribution of the number of organs produced. Then by deriving this last counting series we obtain the recurrent equations describing the moments of the produced organs numbers.

## 7. Contracts and Grants with Industry

### 7.1. Grants with Industry

#### 7.1.1. RNTL Project SIMPA2

Objective: continue the work in Simpa project, i.e., extending Scicos capabilities to allow the usage of “implicit blocks”. This is done by developing a Modelica compiler and interfacing it with Scicos. Examples will be provided by EDF and IFP.

## 7.2. European Research Contracts

### 7.2.1. European project *GeneAuto*

The participants are Airbus Industrie , Barco, EADS Astrium, FRIA, B Krates, INRIA, Israel Aircraft Industrie, Siemens VDO Automotive (leader), allinn Technical University.

In this project proposal, both industries and universities will seek to develop, use and make better tools and methods of design, of development, of integration and checking of embedded software-intensive systems. The overall goal of the development project is to create a proper prototype for industrial code generation starting from a diverse set of desktop system development tools in particular Matlab/Simulink/Stateflow and Scilab/scicos. Additional efforts will be necessary after the project end so to make a real product out of this prototype, as well as certification activities for the respective industrial domains.

This ITEA European project started at the beginning of 2006. The Metalau contribution for this project consist of bringing our experience in hybrid system modelisation and simulation and Code generation for this type of systems. On the other hand gateway will be developed to be able to use Scilab/scicos as a front end of this code generator.

### 7.2.2. European project *EuroSysLib*

The objective is to develop Modelica libraries to be used in Implicit Scicos environment.

## 8. Other Grants and Activities

### 8.1. International Actions

- F. Delebecque, R. Nikoukhah. Organization of invited sessions Scilab/Scicos at MESM'06, Alexandria, Egypte, and at CCA'05 Toronto, Canada.
- R. Nikoukhah. Member of the International Program Committee of the Mediteranean Control and Automation Conference 2006.
- R. Nikoukhah. Member of IFAC Technical Committee on Fault Detection, Supervision and Safety in Technical Processes (SAFEPROCESS TC).
- R. Nikoukhah. Member of International Program Committee for SAFEPROCESS 2006.
- R. Nikoukhah. Senior Member of IEEE.

### 8.2. Cooperations

- North Carolina State University (USA): on failure detection and numerical solution of hybrid DAEs under the coordination of R. Nikoukhah.

## 9. Dissemination

### 9.1. Short Courses and Exhibitions

- Maurice Goursat
  - Scilab/Scicos course at IFP (Institut Français du pétrole) marsh 2006
  - Scilab/Scicos tutorial at CARI (African conference on Research in Computer Science), November 2006, Cotonou Benin
  - Scilab/Scicos tutorial at Blida November 2005, Algeria
- R. Nikoukhah. 5 day course on Scilab/Scicos. Nov. 2005. Blida, Algeria.

- R. Nikoukhah. One-day Scicos/Modelica course. Oct. 2006, INRIA, Rocquencourt.
- Serge Steer, Simone Mannori. Scilab/Scicos exhibition at CCA/CACSD/ISIC Oct. 2006, Munich Germany
- Serge Steer
  - Scilab/Scicos course at IFP (Institut Français du pétrole) marsh 2006
  - Scilab/Scicos tutorial at CARI (African conference on Research in Computer Science), November 2006, Cotonou Benin
  - Scilab/Scicos tutorial at Blida November 2005, Algeria

## 9.2. Conference and workshop participations, invited conferences

- F. Delebecque
  - MESM 2006, Alexandria, Egypt, Aug. 06.
- M. Goursat
  - 2006 SEM Annual Conference, Saint-Louis, Missouri, USA, June 06.
  - MESM 2006, Alexandria, Egypt, Aug. 06.
  - Scilab Workshop, Hangzhou, China, Sep. 06.
- S. Mannori
  - IEEE International Symposium on Computer-Aided Control Systems Design 2006 CCA/CACSD/ISIC, Munich, Germany, Oct. 06.
- R. Nikoukhah
  - The 25th IASTED International Conference on MODELLING, IDENTIFICATION, AND CONTROL MIC 2006, Lanzarote, Spain, Feb. 06
  - 14th Mediterranean Conference on Control and Automation MED06, Ancona, Italy, June 06.
  - MESM 2006, Alexandria, Egypt, Aug. 06.
  - Modelica conference, Vienna, Austria, Sep. 06.
  - Scilab Workshop, Hangzhou, China, Sep. 06.
  - IEEE International Symposium on Computer-Aided Control Systems Design 2006 CCA/CACSD/ISIC, Munich, Germany, Oct. 06.
- S. Steer
  - Modelica conference, Vienna, Austria, Sep. 06.
  - Scilab Workshop, Hangzhou, China, Sep. 06.
  - IEEE International Symposium on Computer-Aided Control Systems Design 2006 CCA/CACSD/ISIC, Munich, Germany, Oct. 06.

## 9.3. Teaching

- F. Delebecque
  - Ensta, 2nd year. Class rooms in Control.
  - DEA MMMEF, University of Paris 1. Systems Theory course.
  - Agrégation Mathématique, committee member.

- R. Nikoukhah
  - Ensta: Systems and Control, 2nd year, Dynamic Programming, 3rd year.
  - Pulv: Systems and Control, fifth year, Stochastic processes, forth year.
- J.P. Quadrat
  - Paris 1 : Introduction to optimal stochastic control: DEA.
- S. Steer - Course on numerical simulation, Master "ingénierie des systèmes industriels complexes" Ecole Polytechnique october-december 2006,15h

#### 9.4. Ph.D. Thesis supervision

- Alireza Esna Ashari, supervised by Ramine Nikoukhah.

#### 9.5. Thesis committee

- R. Nikoukhah, thesis defense of Alan Layec, at IUT GEII of Brive, Feb 2006.
- R. Nikoukhah, thesis defense of Abderrezak Bennadji, Facult des Sciences et Techniques de Limoges. April 2006

#### 9.6. Intern supervision

- R. Barrau, supervised by Ramine Nikoukhah, 2006.
- B. Bayol, supervised by Ramine Nikoukhah, 2006.
- R. Goffetre, supervised by François Delebecque, 2006
- F. Nassif, supervised by Ramine Nikoukhah, 2006.

## 10. Bibliography

### Major publications by the team in recent years

- [1] F. BACCELLI, G. COHEN, G. OLSDER, J. QUADRAT. *Synchronisation and Linearity an Algebra for Discrete Event Systems*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1992.
- [2] C. BUNKS, J. CHANCELIER, F. DELEBECQUE, M. GOURSAT, R. NIKOUKHAH, S. STEER. , C. GOMEZ (editor). *Engineering and scientific computing with Scilab*, Birkhauser, 1999.
- [3] S. CAMPBELL, J. CHANCELIER, R. NIKOUKHAH. *Modeling and Simulation in Scilab/Scicos*, ISBN 0-387-27802-8, Springer, 2005.
- [4] S. CAMPBELL, R. NIKOUKHAH. *Auxiliary Signal Design for Failure Detection*, ISBN 0-691-09987-1, Princeton University Press, 2004.
- [5] S. CAMPBELL, R. NIKOUKHAH, F. DELEBECQUE. *Advances in Control*, P. M. FRANK (editor). , chap. nonlinear descriptor systems, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1999, p. 247-282.
- [6] J. CHANCELIER, F. DELEBECQUE, M. GOURSAT, R. NIKOUKHAH, S. STEER. , C. GOMEZ (editor). *Introduction à Scilab*, Springer, 2002.

- [7] G. COHEN, D. DUBOIS, J. QUADRAT, M. VIOT. *A linear system-theoretic view of discrete event processes and its use for performance evaluation in manufacturing*, in "IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control", n<sup>o</sup> 30, 1985, p. 210-220.
- [8] P. LOTITO, E. MANCINELLI, J. QUADRAT. *A Minplus Derivation of the Fundamental Car-Traffic Law*, in "IEEE-AC", vol. 50, n<sup>o</sup> 5, May 2005, p. 699-705.
- [9] B. . LÉVY, A. BENVENISTE, R. NIKOUKHAH. *High level primitives for recursive maximum likelihood estimation*, in "IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control", n<sup>o</sup> 8, 1996.
- [10] R. NIKOUKHAH, S. CAMPBELL, F. DELEBECQUE. *Observer design for general inear time-invariant systems*, in "Automatica", vol. 34, n<sup>o</sup> 5, 1998.
- [11] R. NIKOUKHAH, S. CAMPBELL, F. DELEBECQUE. *Kalman filtering for general discrete-time linear systems*, in "IEEE Trans. Automat. Control", vol. 44, 1999.
- [12] R. NIKOUKHAH. *Innovations generation in the presence of unknown inputs*, in "Automatica", n<sup>o</sup> 30, 1994.
- [13] R. NIKOUKHAH. *Guaranteed active failure detection and isolation for dynamical systems*, in "Automatica", vol. 34, n<sup>o</sup> 11, 1998.
- [14] R. NIKOUKHAH, A. WILLSKY, B. LÉVY. *Kalman filtering and Riccati equations for descriptor systems*, in "IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control", vol. 37, n<sup>o</sup> 9, 1992, p. 1325-1342.
- [15] D. VON WISSEL, R. NIKOUKHAH, S. CAMPBELL, F. DELEBECQUE. *The effect of computational delay in descriptor based trajectory tracking control*, in "Int. J. Control", n<sup>o</sup> 67, 1997, p. 251-273.

## Year Publications

### Books and Monographs

- [16] S. CAMPBELL, J. CHANCELIER, R. NIKOUKHAH. *Modeling and Simulation in Scilab/Scicos*, Springer, 2005.

### Doctoral dissertations and Habilitation theses

- [17] A. AZIL. *A formalism for hybrid system modeling and simulation: Scicos compiler*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Paris XI, May 25th 2005.
- [18] M. NAJAFI. *Numerical solver for hybrid differential algebraic equations*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Paris XII, Val de Marne, November 4th 2005.

### Articles in refereed journals and book chapters

- [19] F. BELHACENE, S. STEER. *Tools for building Scilab toolboxes, present and future*, Shi Li, Long-Hua Ma, and Claude Gomez (Eds), Tsinghua University Press, 2006.
- [20] A. BENVENISTE, M. BASSEVILLE, M. GOURSAT, L. MEVEL. *Workshop on the occasion of Lennart Ljung's 60th birthday*, Torkel Glad (ed.), chap. The local approach to change detection, diagnosis, and model

validation: application to vibration mechanics. - Forever Ljung in System Identification, Studentlitteratur, Lund, September 2006, p. 3-35.

- [21] G. COHEN, S. GAUBERT, E. MANCINELLI, J.-P. QUADRAT, E. ROFMAN. , vol. Mathematicae Notae, chap. On traffic light control, UNR editora, Rosario, Argentina, 2005.
- [22] M. GOURSAT, L. MEVEL. *Scilab Research, Development and Applications*, Shi Li, Long-Hua Ma, Claude Gomez (eds.), chap. Scilab Tools for Identification and Simulation of Mechanical Structures, Tsinghua University Press, Beijing, China, 2006, p. 297-307.
- [23] M. KHOSROWJERDI, R. NIKOUKHAH, N. SAFARI-SHAD. *Fault Detection in a Mixed  $\mathcal{H}_2/\mathcal{H}_\infty$  Setting*, in "IEEE Transaction On Automatic Control", vol. 50, n<sup>o</sup> 7, July 2005, p. 1063-1068.
- [24] L. MEVEL, A. BENVENISTE, M. BASSEVILLE, M. GOURSAT, B. PEETERS, H. V. DER AUWERAER, A. VECCHIO. *Input/output versus output-only data processing for structural identification - Application to in-flight data analysis*, in "Journal of Sound and Vibration", vol. 295, n<sup>o</sup> 3, August 2006, p. 531-552.
- [25] S. MONS, A. LAYEC, A. BENNADJI, T. REVEYRAND, R. SOMMET, E. NGOYA, R. QUÉRÉ. *Scilab/scicos toolboxes for telecommunications*, Tsinghua University Press, Hangzhou, Chine, Oct 2006, p. 129-142.
- [26] M. NAJAFI, R. NIKOUKHAH. *The use of the numerical integrator in Scicos, a user friendly graphical based simulation software*, in "European Journal of Automation (JESA), Special issue on modeling, formalism, methods and simulation tools", 2006.
- [27] R. NIKOUKHAH, S. CAMPBELL. *A multi-model approach to failure detection in uncertain sampled-data systems*, in "European Journal of Control", vol. 11, n<sup>o</sup> 3, 2005, p. 255-266.
- [28] R. NIKOUKHAH, S. CAMPBELL. *Auxiliary Signal Design for Active Failure Detection in Uncertain Linear Systems with A Priori Information*, in "Automatica", vol. 42, n<sup>o</sup> 2, 2006, p. 219-228.
- [29] R. NIKOUKHAH. *A tour of modeling capabilities of Scicos*, Qin, Hu Li and Gomez (Eds), Tsinghua University Press, 2005.
- [30] R. NIKOUKHAH. *Challenges in Integrating Modelica in the Hybrid System Formalism Scicos*, Shi Li and Long-Hua Ma and Claude Gomez (Eds), Tsinghua University Press, 2006.

### **Publications in Conferences and Workshops**

- [31] M. BASSEVILLE, M. GOURSAT, L. MEVEL. *Multiple CUSUM tests for flutter monitoring*, in "Proceedings of the 14th Symposium on System Identification (SYSID), Newcastle, Aus", March 2006, p. 624-629.
- [32] D. CHOE, S. CAMPBELL, R. NIKOUKHAH. *Auxiliary Signal Design for Robust Failure Detection: A Case Study*, in "Proc. Fifth International Conference on Control and Automation (ICCA 2005), Budapest, Hungary".
- [33] D. CHOE, S. CAMPBELL, R. NIKOUKHAH. *A Comparison of Optimal and Suboptimal Auxiliary Signal Design Approaches for Robust Failure Detection*, in "IEEE Conference on Control Applications, Toronto", 2005.

- [34] D. CHOE, S. CAMPBELL, R. NIKOUKHAH. *Comparison between failure detection test signals for continuous systems and sampled-data systems*, in "Proc. IEEE Conf. Decision and Control", December 2006.
- [35] N. FARHI, N. BHOURI, P. LOTITO. *Regulation of the bimodal traffic*, in "11th IFAC Symposium on Control in Transportation Systems, Delft, Pays-Bas", August 2006.
- [36] M. GOURSAT, L. MEVEL. *On-line monitoring of the crowd influence on Manchester Stadium*, in "Proceedings of the 24th International Modal Analysis Conference (IMAC-XXIV), Saint Louis, MI", January 2006.
- [37] M. GOURSAT, L. MEVEL. *Scilab tools for simulation of systems with non stationary modal parameters*, in "Proceedings of the 8th International Middle Eastern Simulation Multiconference, Alexandria, Egypt", August 2006, p. 193-199.
- [38] M. KHOSROWJERDI, SAFARI-SHAD, R. NIKOUKHAH. *Robust Fault Detection in a Mixed  $H_2/H_\infty$  Setting: The Discrete - Time Case*, in "Proc. IEEE Med. Conf. Control and Automation", 2006.
- [39] A. LAYEC, L. LARGER, D. FOURNIER-PRUNARET, R. QUÉRÉ. *Modeling and simulation of chaotic communication systems in scilab/scicos environment*, in "8th International Middle Eastern Multiconference on Simulation and Modelling (MESM'06), Alexandria, Egypt", Aug. 2006, p. 186-192.
- [40] A. LAYEC. *Typage de ports réguliers d'entrée/sortie dans le modélisateur/simulateur Scicos*, in "Journée nationale 2006 Logiciels pour la Modélisation et le Calcul Scientifique (LMCS'2006), Paris", 2006, <http://www.acsystème.com/lmcs2006.htm>.
- [41] V. LE GALLO, M. GOURSAT, L. GONIDOU. *Damping characterization and flight identification*, in "Proceedings of the 57th International Astronautical Congress (IAC 2006), Valencia, SP", October 2006.
- [42] S. MANNORI. *Conf. organized by city of Arezzo, Arezzo, Italy*, November 2006.
- [43] S. MANNORI. *Scilab/Scicos pour la generation de code pour systeme embarque*, in "Fox VHDL Conference, Ladispoli @ Rome", June 2006.
- [44] S. MANNORI, R. NIKOUKHAH. *Scicos: use of hardware in the loop simulation in control*, in "Proc. of MESM 2006, Alexandria, Egypt", August 2006.
- [45] S. MANNORI, R. NIKOUKHAH, S. STEER. *Commande des procédés industriels avec des logiciels libres*, in "Journées Démonstrateurs en Automatique, Angers", March 2006.
- [46] L. MEVEL, M. GOURSAT. *Model validation by using a damage detection test*, in "Proceedings of the 24th International Modal Analysis Conference (IMAC-XXIV), Saint Louis, MI", January 2006.
- [47] M. NAJAFI, S. FURIC, R. NIKOUKHAH. *Scicos: a general purpose modeling and simulation environment*, in "4th International Modelica Conference", March 2005.
- [48] M. NAJAFI, R. NIKOUKHAH, S. CAMPBELL. *The role of model formulation in DAE integration: Experience gained in developing Scicos*, in "Proc IMACS World Congress on Scientific Computation, Paris", 2005.

- [49] M. NAJAFI, R. NIKOUKHAH. *On Jacobian evaluation for numerical integration of DAEs with partial symbolic information*, in "44th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, Seville, Spain", December 2005.
- [50] M. NAJAFI, R. NIKOUKHAH. *Modeling and simulation of differential equations in Scicos*, in "5th international Modelica conference, Vienna, Austria", 2006.
- [51] M. NAJAFI, R. NIKOUKHAH, S. STEER, S. FURIC. *New features and new challenges in modeling and simulation in Scicos*, in "IEEE conference on control application, Toronto, Canada", 2005.
- [52] R. NIKOUKHAH, S. CAMPBELL. *Auxiliary signal design for active failure detection in uncertain systems with non-zero initial conditions and known inputs*, in "IFAC World Congress, Prague, Czech Republic", July 2005.
- [53] R. NIKOUKHAH, S. CAMPBELL. *Robust detection of incipient faults: an active approach*, in "Proc. IEEE Med. Conf. Control and Automation", 2006.
- [54] R. NIKOUKHAH. *A tour of modeling capabilities of Scicos*, in "The 2005 International Workshop on SCILAB and Open Source Software Engineering, S-OSSE'05, Wuhan, China", October 2005.
- [55] R. NIKOUKHAH. *A hybrid system formalism for modeling and simulation*, in "IEEE International Symposium on Computer-Aided Control Systems Design 2006 CCA/CACSD/ISIC, Munich, Germany", October 2006.
- [56] R. NIKOUKHAH. *Challenges in Integrating Modelica in the Hybrid System Formalism Scicos*, in "The 2006 International Workshop on Open Source Software SCILAB and its Engineering Applications (OSSS-EA'06), Hangzhou, China", September 2006.
- [57] R. NIKOUKHAH. *Modeling hybrid systems in scicos: a case study*, in "Proceedings of the 25th IASTED international conference on Modeling, identification, and control, Lanzarote, Spain", February 2006.
- [58] D. SIEGERT, S. STAQUET, G. CUMUNEL, M. GOURSAT, F. TOUTLEMONDE. *Vibration based structural health monitoring of prebended steel-VHPC beams*, in "Proceedings of the SEM Annual Conference, Saint Louis, MI", June 2006.
- [59] M. ZHEN, P. DE REFFYE, J.-P. QUADRAT. *Plant Distribution from the Greenlab Organogenesis Model*, To appear, October 2006.

### Miscellaneous

- [60] N. FARHI, M. GOURSAT, J.-P. QUADRAT. *Fundamental Diagrams of Elementary System Networks*, submitted ECC 2007.
- [61] M. NAJAFI, Z. BENJELLOUN-DABAGHI. *A model for a drilling rig composed of rotary rig, drill string, rolling bits is developing in Scicos with the Modelica language*, Developing a Scicos model for a drilling rig. IFP, Rueil-Malmaison (In progress), 2006-2007.
- [62] M. NAJAFI, Z. BENJELLOUN-DABAGHI. *Developing a Scicos model for an internal combustion engine. The model of an internal combustion engine, composed of the air throttle, intake air manifold, exhaust gas recirculation system (EGR), canister purge system, and cylinders was developed in the Modelica language and then simulated in Scicos*, INRIA, IFP, Rueil-Malmaison, 2006.



- 
- [63] M. NAJAFI, R. NIKOUKHAH. *Modeling and simulation of thermodynamic systems in Scicos*, METALAU project, 2005.
- [64] M. NAJAFI, M. SORINE. *Modeling the fuel cell stack with the orthogonal collocation method*, SOSSO2 project, 2006.
- [65] E. WITRANT, S. BREMOND, F. DELEBECQUE, J.-P. QUADRAT. *Simplified Plasma Current Profile Model for Tokamak Control*, submitted IEEE-CCA 2007.

### **References in notes**

- [66] A. LAYEC. *Development of CAD models for the system level simulation of communication systems. Application to chaotic communications*, Ph. D. Thesis, Université de Limoges no5-2006, 2006, <http://www.unilim.fr/theses/2006/sciences/2006limo0006/notice.htm>.